Public Document Pack



Planning Committee

Wed 27 Jan 2021 7.00 pm

Virtual Meeting



If you have any queries on this Agenda please contact

Sarah Sellers

Town Hall, Walter Stranz Square, Redditch, B98 8AH
Tel: (01527) 64252 (Ext. 2884)
email: sarah.sellers@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.uk

GUIDANCE ON VIRTUAL MEETINGS AND PUBLIC SPEAKING

Due to the current Covid-19 pandemic Redditch Borough Council will be holding this meeting in accordance with the relevant legislative arrangements for remote meetings of a local authority. For more information please refer to the Local Authorities and Police and Crime Panels (Coronavirus) (Flexibility of Local Authority and Police Crime Panels meetings) (England and Wales) Regulations 2020.

The meeting is open to the public except for any exempt/confidential items. Where a meeting is held remotely, "open" means available for live viewing. Members of the public will be able to see and hear the meetings via a live stream to the Council's YouTube Channel which can be accessed using the link below:

Link to view live stream of Planning Committee

Members of the Committee, officers and public speakers will participate in the meeting using Microsoft Teams, and details of any access codes/passwords will be made available separately.

If you have any questions regarding the agenda or attached papers please do not hesitate to contact the officer named below.

PUBLIC SPEAKING

The usual process for public speaking at meetings of the Planning Committee will continue to be followed subject to some adjustments for the smooth running of virtual meetings. For further details a copy of the amended Planning Committee Procedure Rules can be found on the Council's website at:

Link to amended Planning Committee Procedure Rules

The process approved by the Council for public speaking at meetings of the Planning Committee is (subject to the discretion and control of the Chair), as summarised below:

- 1) Introduction of application by Chair
- 2) Officer presentation of the report
- 3) Public Speaking in the following order:
 - a) Objectors to speak on the application
 - b) Supporters to speak on the application
 - c) Ward Councillors
 - d) Applicant (or representative) to speak on the application
- 4) Members' questions to the Officers and formal debate / determination.

Speakers will be called in the order they have notified their interest in speaking to the Democratic Services Team and invited to unmute their microphone and address the committee via Microsoft Teams.

Each individual speaker will have up to a maximum of 3 minutes to speak, subject to the discretion of the Chair.

Each group of supporters or objectors with a common interest will have up to a maximum of 10 minutes to speak, subject to the discretion of the Chair.

Notes:

- 1) Anyone wishing to address the Planning Committee on applications on this agenda must notify the Democratic Services Team on 01527 64252 Extn.2884 or by email at sarah.sellers@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.uk before 12 noon on Monday 25th January.
- 2) Advice and assistance will be provided to public speakers as to how to access the meeting and those registered to speak will be invited to participate via a Teams invitation. Provision has been made in the amended Planning Committee procedure rules for public speakers who cannot access the meeting by Teams, and those speakers will be given the opportunity to submit their speech in writing to be read out by an officer at the meeting. Please take care when preparing written comments to ensure that the reading time will not exceed three minutes. Any speakers wishing to submit written comments must do so by 12 noon on Monday 25th January.
- 3) Reports on all applications will include a summary of the responses received from consultees and third parties, an appraisal of the main planning issues and a recommendation. All submitted plans and documentation for each application, including consultee responses and third party representations, are available to view in full via the Public Access facility on the Council's website www.redditchbc.gov.uk
- 4) It should be noted that, in coming to its decision, the Committee can only take into account planning issues, namely policies contained in the Borough of Redditch Local Plan No. 4 and other material considerations, which include Government Guidance and other relevant policies published since the adoption of the Development Plan and the "environmental factors" (in the broad sense) which affect the site.
- 5) Although this is a public meeting, there are circumstances when the committee might have to move into closed session to consider exempt or confidential information. For agenda items that are exempt, the public are excluded and for any such items the live stream will be suspended and that part of the meeting will not be recorded.
- 6) Late circulation of additional papers is not advised and is subject to the Chair's agreement. The submission of any significant new information might lead to a delay in reaching a decision. The deadline for papers to be received by Planning Officers is 4.00 p.m. on the Friday before the meeting.



Planning COMMITTEE

Wednesday, 27th January, 2021

7.00 pm

Virtual Meeting - Microsoft Teams

Agenda

Membership:

Cllrs: Gemma Monaco (Chair)

Salman Akbar (Vice-Chair)

Tom Baker-Price Roger Bennett Michael Chalk Andrew Fry
Julian Grubb
Bill Hartnett
Jennifer Wheeler

- 1. Chair's Welcome
- **2.** Apologies
- **3.** Declarations of Interest

To invite Councillors to declare any Disclosable Pecuniary Interests and / or Other Disclosable Interests they may have in items on the agenda, and to confirm the nature of those interests.

4. Confirmation of Minutes of Planning Committee held on 13th January 2021

Minutes to follow

5. Update Reports

To note Update Reports (if any) for the Planning Applications to be considered at the meeting (circulated prior to the commencement of the meeting)

- **6.** Application 19/00977/HYB (Redditch application) Land at Brockhill East Weights Lane Redditch Persimmon Homes Limited (Pages 1 66)
- 7. Application 20/00992/FUL 3 Vicarage View Redditch B97 4RF K Hands (Pages 67 76)
- **8.** Application 20/01418/FUL 2 Vicarage Crescent Redditch B97 4RE Miss Halema Khan (Pages 77 80)



Page 1 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

Planning Application 19/00977/HYB

Hybrid planning application for up to 960 dwellings consisting of a full application for 128 dwellings accessed off Weights Lane, new public open space, drainage system, engineering operations and associated works and an outline application for the construction of the remaining dwellings with access points off Cookridge Close, Hawling Street and Weights Lane and including a new District Centre, new play facilities, new highway network, public open space, new drainage system and surface water attenuation, engineering operations and all associated works including landscaping.

Land At Brockhill East, Weights Lane, Redditch, Worcestershire

Applicant: Persimmon Homes Ltd

Ward: Batchley And Brockhill Ward

The case officer of this application is Mr Paul Lester, Planning Officer (DM), who can be contacted on Tel: 01527 881323 Email: paul.lester@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.uk for more information.

1.0 Site Description

- 1.1 The application site is a greenfield site which extends to circa 56 hectares and is irregular in shape, comprising of heavily grazed improved grassland and large arable field parcels typically subdivided by fencing. The site's boundaries extend adjacent to Brockhill Lane to the west, Weights Lane to the north, the Redditch/Birmingham railway line to the east and Phase I (Pointer's Way) and Phase II (Meadow View) to its south. These phases have been built by Permission and a further phase by Bovis Homes off the Weights Lane roundabout is currently being completed.
- 1.2 The boundaries of the site are typically defined by vegetation, including trees, hedgerows and blocks of new and established woodland, or the urban edge of Redditch, particularly that formed by Phases I and II of Brockhill East. The site contains three blocks of broad leaf planation woodland on higher ground with other vegetation comprising of hedgerows and scattered trees particularly along the Red Ditch. The Red Ditch flows through the site in a north-south direction.
- 1.3 The topography of the site is undulating with a number of localised high points that form a prominent ridge running into the site from north-west to south-east. The undulating ground means that existing gradients can be very steep, in particular in the northern most land parcel south off Weights Lane.

Page 2 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

- 1.4 Further constraints within the site include the Red Ditch which flows within its own valley north-south until it leaves the site beneath Pointer's Way. A high-pressure gas main also crosses the site from north-east to south-west. A fuel pipeline crosses the northern part of the site, south of Weights Lane, before leaving the site in a westerly direction.
- 1.5 The only buildings within the site consist of the former farm buildings at Lowan's Hill Farm. These had planning permission to be converted into 5 dwellings plus the construction of 2 new farmhouses to provide a total of 7 dwellings. Lowan's Hill Farm is located immediately to the north of housing development constructed within Phase I off Cookridge Close. Cookridge Close itself has recently been extended up to the remaining buildings at Lowan's Hill Farm. This road will provide access to a new two-form entry primary school that was granted planning permission (by the County Council) as part of the allocation in 2016.
- 1.6 Development surrounding the site includes Brockhill East Phase I (known as Pointer's Way) and Brockhill East Phase II (known as Meadow View). A further area of housing development (known as Millwood Meadows) is under construction to the east of the railway line by Bovis Homes and this also forms part of the wider local plan allocation. To the north of the application site off Weights Lane is an area of employment development known as Weights Farm Business Park. The area lies west of the railway line and predominantly occupies land north of Weights Lane. This area includes some individual houses which remain in residential use. converted agricultural buildings and, more recently, steel clad industrial units. It also contains areas of open hardstanding, car parking areas, a waste transfer use and car sales/repairs. Within the area, Weights Lane splits with the public highway curving west to provide access to a limited number of individual houses and a scrapyard. Vehicle access through from Weights Lane to Brockhill Lane is not possible albeit it does provide pedestrian/cycle access. The other spur off Weights Lane within the employment area consists of a private drive giving access to a further dwelling.
- 1.7 To the east Weights Lane passes beneath the Redditch/Birmingham railway line through a narrow bridge. The bridge is not sufficiently wide enough to allow two vehicles to pass and this will be addressed as part of the planning application process as Weights Lane represents one of the principal access points. Beyond the bridge to the east, Weights Lane contains a weigh bridge and a pair of semi-detached houses.
- 1.8 Brockhill Lane forms in part the western edge of the site and links residential development within Redditch to Hewell Lane/Hewell Grange. Beyond the urban edge of Redditch, Brockhill Lane is a narrow country road boarded by hedges and trees. To the south of the lane are large areas of woodland including Brockhill Wood.

Page 3 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

2.0 **Proposal Description**

2.1 The application is submitted in hybrid form comprising elements seeking both full (detailed) <u>and</u> outline planning permission. The application straddles the border between Redditch Borough Council (19/00977/HYB) and Bromsgrove District Council (19/00976/HYB).

Full Element

- 2.2 The full application consists of the construction of 128 dwellings. Access will be provided off a realigned Weights Lane, which would also provide access to other development parcels falling within the outline proposal. The realigned Weights Lane access would provide access to the site and then travel in a westerly direction to provide access to other development parcels within Phase III. The full planning application includes an internal access road which would travel from the extended Weights Lane in a southerly direction, ultimately linking into Hawling Street and the development under construction on Phase II of Brockhill East. In conjunction with the outline component, the development will deliver a comprehensive road network linking Weights Lane in the north-east to Pointer's Way in the south-west.
- 2.3 The full planning application provides a range of market and affordable homes, the breakdown of market and affordable dwellings are set out in the table below.

Market Housing	Height	
6 x 2 bed dwellings	2 storey	
55 x 3 bed dwellings	2 storey	
17 x 3 bed dwellings	2.5 storey	
12 x 4 bed dwellings	2 storey	
Total 84 dwellings		

Affordable Housing	Height
Shared Ownership	
9 x 2 bed dwellings	2 storey
7x 3 bed dwellings	2 storey
Rent	
4 x 1 bed flats	2 storey
3 x 2 bed bungalow	1 storey
12 x 2 bed dwellings	2 storey
7 x 3 bed dwellings	2 storey
2 x 4 bed dwellings	2.5 storey
Total 44 dwellings	

Page 4 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

- 2.4 In terms of the split between the two authorities, there are a total of 76 dwellings in Redditch and 52 dwellings in Bromsgrove. As set out above, there are different affordable housing thresholds for the different LPAs. Redditch has a requirement for 30% affordable housing therefore the proposal includes 23 affordable housing units in Redditch. Bromsgrove has an affordable housing requirement of 40% therefore there are 21 affordable housing units in Bromsgrove. This means that the proposal provides fully policy compliant affordable housing in both LPAs.
- 2.5 The affordable homes are spread throughout the site to avoid large concentrations in a particular location. The proposed dwellings would be predominantly two storeys with the occasional 2.5 storey dwelling located on feature junctions and would be in detached and semi-detached formats. New public open space would be throughout the site. A corridor of open space would follow the gas pipeline which crosses the wider site in a south-west north-east direction. Further areas of open space would be located between the railway line and Weights Lane. This would provide for a range of functions including as an attenuation basin/nature conservation area as well as areas of grassland/informal recreation.
- 2.6 Two groups of trees located to the south of the full area would be retained. Other trees within the site would also be retained. A further corridor of open space would be maintained between the existing committed residential development within Phase II and the new full application within Phase III.
- 2.7 Parking is provided alongside existing dwellings to avoid domination of street frontages with parked vehicles. Parking provision is based on two spaces per dwelling with many dwellings also benefiting from garages.
- 2.8 It is envisaged that the area of the full application would be developed as the first phase of Brockhill Phase III. It would be linked through to Hawling Street albeit construction traffic would be limited to Weights Lane only. The approach with the detailed application allows for construction to continue once the Phase II development has been completed in a relatively seamless fashion.
- 2.9 A key consideration in delivering the design of the new housing within the full component is the relatively steep slopes which currently exist. To maintain access routes at a gradient which can be satisfactorily accommodated by the community, it has been necessary to follow the contours of the site which has resulted in relatively straight vehicular routes. To avoid these becoming susceptible to higher traffic speeds a number of speed restraint measures have been introduced.
- 2.10 In addition, some pedestrian routes through the site do not accord with the 1:12 gradient normally required. This is unavoidable as without vast engineering operations to remodel the landscape, the existing typography of the site means that gradients will remain beyond those normally considered acceptable.

Page 5 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

2.11 Nevertheless the design of the proposal has sought to provide the best and most convenient routes within the development and will provide a direct link to Hawling Street and will help create both linked streets, improved permeability and an integrated development.

Outline Element

- 2.12 The outline component includes detailed points of access to the local highway network, but all other matters are reserved for subsequent approval (these reserved matters comprise of scale, appearance, layout and landscaping).
- 2.13 Three new access points are proposed, which includes the following:
 - Weights Lane along the northeast site boundary;
 - the extension of Hawling Street (Phase 2) along the southeast site boundary; and
 - the extension of Cookridge Close (Phase 1) along the southern site boundary.
- 2.14 The application proposes 23ha of residential development (excluding land for the district centre) and would deliver up to 832 dwellings in a range of types and tenures. A mixed-use district centre of 0.8ha would be provided. A new internal highway network. 30ha of public open space would be provided to include informal and formal open space, existing and proposed structural planting, drainage and play. Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) would be provided within areas of public open space.
- 2.15 A further five residential parcels are proposed to be developed from the remaining area of Brockhill East Phase III.
- 2.16 The first area comprises of an extension in a westerly direction of the full application. This would involve the extension of the Weights Lane spur in a westerly direction and new residential development created on either side of it. This location is immediately to the south of the existing alignment of Weights Lane. It also has sloping typography with land falling from the high point on the site to the south of this phase into the wider development parcel. As with the detailed application, the design and layout of the proposal at reserved matters stage would require careful consideration to take onboard the typography and deliver an integrated and attractive new development.
- 2.17 Through the centre of the site would be a further three parcels of residential development. It also includes a separate area for the district centre. The district centre is located immediately adjacent to the approved primary school site which is, in turn, located to the north of Lowan's Hill Farm buildings. The district centre is to be accessed off Cookridge Close in the same way that the school and other residential parcels are to be accessed. Cookridge Close would form one of the

Page 6 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

principal access routes into the development and provide a loop road from the realigned Weights Lane south onto Pointer's Way and onto Brockhill Way.

- 2.18 The new district centre would be adjacent to the school site and contain a convenience store no larger than 500 square metres, a new community building, additional smaller scale retail units, car parking and associated landscaping. Discussions are being held with a potential operator to bring this forward, albeit it would be reliant on the new population associated with the residential development forming part of Brockhill Phase III.
- 2.19 A further residential parcel is shown north of the proposed district centre. This would be located south of the gas pipeline, which cuts across the site. This residential area is located below the highest ridge line on the site to avoid any skyline issues. As with other residential components on this portion of the site, gradients are steep which will again be a consideration on the ultimate design and layout of the development parcel.
- 2.20 A further residential component is shown beyond the pipeline corridor, again located to avoid the highest ground to the north. These would also be located to the north-east of the valley created by the Red Ditch.
- 2.21 The residential parcel is shown to the north-west of the site, north of the fuel pipeline and south of Weights Lane. It is located immediately to the west of existing residential properties located on the western portion of Weights Lane, in the vicinity where the road narrows down to form a footpath/cycling link only. Again, this residential parcel will be set below the highest parts of the site which is closest to Weights Lane. As with other areas of new housing within the scheme, each residential parcel is set within its own landscaped setting to ensure it is assimilated with the rural context.
- 2.22 The final residential parcel would be located on the western edge of the site. This is located to the north-east of Brockhill Lane. This residential parcel has been designed to avoid the highest land on the very western edge of the site. Access to this area would be via by a spur road off the main central road linking Cookridge Close with the realigned Weights Lane. Immediately to the north of this land parcel would be an area of woodland which falls outside of the site and would be retained. The Red Ditch flows out of the woodland in a southerly direction within its own valley. No access would be provided from the site to Brockhill Lane with all vehicular traffic travelling via the new road network to join the central spine route.
- 2.23 The final mix is dwellings has not been specified, however it is anticipated that this development would deliver a similar range of housing as the previous phases which comprise of predominantly 2-4 bedroom semi-detached and detached properties. A policy compliant affordable housing will be provided. This varies depending on the local authority with 30% required in Redditch and 40% in Bromsgrove.

Page 7 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

- 2.24 A significant portion of the site would consist of new public open space. This would be formed by a series of substantial areas predominantly on the highest ground within the site forming a substantial corridor. A further significant corridor would be formed by the valley of the Red Ditch which crosses the site in a north-south direction. In addition to these principal areas of open space further corridors would be created. The line of a gas main which runs south -west - north-east through the site would be maintained as a green corridor. Similarly, the fuel pipeline which zigzags through the northern portion of the site and adjacent to Weights Lane would also be maintained as a green corridor. The design of the residential parcels and the district centre/primary school have all been created to maintain their separate identities and ensure they are surrounded by substantial areas of landscaping. This design approach would deliver significant amounts of green infrastructure and allow for the creation of further corridors of space within which the new residential community can be created. As part of this green infrastructure, a series of amenity walking routes would be created linked together to provide a coherent and substantial pedestrian/cycle network. As part of this approach the substantial areas of woodland planting on the site and significant areas of hedgerows would be retained and integrated. Within the green infrastructure there have also been identified play area locations on suitable intersections in the pedestrian network. Further smaller scale play provision can be made within the residential areas.
- 2.25 The application has not been subject to an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA). In December 2018, the Secretary of State directed that the proposed development was not 'EIA development' within the meaning of the 2017 Regulations.
- 2.26 The Secretary of State overall concluded that the potential impacts arising from the development would have been considered when the site was allocated during the Local Plan process. He also considers that the potential impacts are not complex and could be considered under normal planning practice and that relevant information could be set out in suitable assessments, particularly on traffic, emissions, impacts on sensitive sites and archaeology, and submitted to support any planning application.

3.0 Relevant Policies:

Borough of Redditch Local Plan No.4

Policy 1 Presumption in favour of Sustainable Development

Policy 2: Settlement Hierarchy

Policy 3 Development Strategy

Policy 4: Housing Provision

Policy 5: Effective and Efficient use of Land

Policy 6: Affordable Housing

Page 8 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

Policy 17: Flood Risk Management

Policy 19: Sustainable travel and Accessibility

Policy 20: Transport Requirements for New Development

Policy 22: Road Hierarchy

Policy 31: Regeneration for Town Centre

Policy 36: Historic Environment

Policy 37: Historic Buildings and Structures

Policy 39: Built Environment

Policy 40: High Quality Design and Safer Communities

Appendix 1 RCBD1 Redditch Cross Boundary Development Borough of Redditch High Quality Design SPD (June 2019)

Others

NPPF National Planning Policy Framework (2019) NPPG National Planning Practice Guidance SPD Open Space Provision Redditch Town Centre Strategy Worcestershire Waste Core Strategy

Bromsgrove District Plan

RCBD1: Redditch Cross Boundary Development

High Quality Design Supplementary Planning Document (June 2019)

4.0 Relevant Planning History

- Phase 1 (2011/177/OUT): Mixed use development of 171 dwellings, public open space (no maters reserved) and outline application for 4,738 square metres of Class B1 (Business) floorspace and access. Planning consent was granted on 3rd October 2011.
- Phase 2 (2014/256/OUT): Mixed use development of 296 dwellings, play area, Community House and public open space and outline application for up to 3,100 square metres of Class B1 (Business) floorspace and access. Planning consent was granted on 29th March 2017.
- New School: (16/000007/REG3) New two-form entry First School with associated external areas including access road, hard play, grass pitches, forest schools area, and parking. Planning consent was granted on 13th October 2016.
- Lowans Hill Farm: (2014/210/FUL) Reconstruction of farmhouse building to create two dwellings. Conversion of existing barns to create five dwellings and erection of garage buildings and stores. Planning consent granted on 16th March 2015.
- Land at Weights Lane (2012/120/OUT) Mixed use development of up to 200 dwellings, 5,000 sqm (gross) Class B1 office floorspace with associated open space and access arrangements. Planning consent granted on 11th March 2014.

Page 9 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

Land at Weight Lane (reserved matters): (2015/265/RM) Layout, appearance, scale and landscaping for the erection of 200 no. dwellings with associated infrastructure and landscaping and the discharge of conditions 5, 9, 15 and 16 of the outline application reference 2012/120/OUT. Planning consent was granted 16th December 2015.

5.0 Public Consultation Response

The following section includes a summary of the responses from the statutory and non-statutory consultees to the application. Copies of the full representations are available to view on the Council's website under parallel reference 19/00796/HYB.

Worcestershire Highways

- No objection subject to Conditions and financial obligations
- The Highway Authority has undertaken a robust assessment of the planning application. Based on the analysis of the information submitted and consultation responses from third parties, the Highway Authority concludes that there would not be a severe impact and therefore there are no justifiable grounds on which an objection could be maintained.
- Suggested Conditions and Obligations:

Conditions

- Weights Lane Improvement Scheme (S278)
- Dagnell End Road / A441 Birmingham Road Improvement Scheme (S278)
- · Conformity with Submitted Details
- Vehicular visibility splays
- Electric vehicle charging point
- Cycle parking
- Residential Travel Plan
- Construction Environmental Management Plan

Planning Obligation

- **Bromsgrove & Redditch IDP** The applicant is required to contribute £780,000 (Redditch) and £469,429.03 (Bromsgrove) to mitigate for the additional demands (as detailed above in this response) on the wider transport network that the development will generate.
- **TRO Application** The processing cost for a TRO for Weights Lane, in seeking to change the speed limit from 40mph to 30mph.
- Community Transport There is a need for a new Community Transport service to meet the transport needs of the elderly and disabled especially due to the impact of the anticipated gradients in the area on their ability to access bus stops and the distance from Hospitals and the policy of the local Health Trust regarding not restricting medical appointments to local Hospitals. Contribution £40,000 over 5 years.

Page 10 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

- **Bus Service Strategy** A new circular service operating a peak bus requirement of 3 buses (every 20 minutes), by creating a circular service, routing through the Brockhill East sites serving Weights Lane and Redditch Town Centre. Contribution £324,000. The contribution for the Phase 3 circular bus service is based on a peak bus requirement of 1 bus.
- **Bus Service Infrastructure** Based on 3 pairs of stops with associated shelters only in the inbound direction. Contribution £40,000.

Mott Macdonald (MM)

(Acting as Transport Planning Advisors to Bromsgrove District Council)

Mott MacDonald (MM) has been appointed by Bromsgrove District Council (BDC) to provide development control advice in relation to planning applications in Bromsgrove District. As part of this work they have produced the following reports.

- MM Review of Brockhill East Transport Assessment (April 2020) A review of the Transport Assessment (TA) and associated data and information submitted by PJA
- MM Response to PJA Response (July 2020)
- MM Response to PJA Mitigation Design Update Note (October 2020)
- MM Response to PJA Dagnell End Road Junction Design Modelling Update (January 2021)

These reports raise a range of comments and issue in relation to the various submissions made as part of this planning application. Overall, they have assessed the following areas of the proposal

- Development Proposals (including site access, internal road layout, parking, public transport)
- Travel Demand (trip generation for the residential and district centre element, trip distribution)
- Travel Impact on local highway network
- Junction capacity assessment (including A441 / Weights Lane / Brockhill Phase 4 Development Access, Dagnell End Road / A441 and other key junctions
- Traffic Impact on Bromsgrove
- Travel Plan

The final issues identified within the January 2021 report concludes that:

In terms of the junction modelling for the mitigation scheme, Mott MacDonald confirm that the revisions undertaken by PJA to the modelling have been done satisfactorily and demonstrate nil detriment when compared the existing junction layout in 2030 with committed development traffic. This matter is therefore considered resolved. In terms of the issue related to the primary signal head on Dagnell End Road, Mott MacDonald have identified several technical issues that will require further information from the developer to resolve.

Page 11 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

Following further correspondence Mott MacDonald note that there is sufficient land available and the likely modification required will be relatively small and will not impact on land take or forward visibility to the signals. Therefore, at this stage, the issues noted should not hold the scheme up at this stage in the process, but the points noted above and below do need to be considered as the scheme progresses as part of the detailed design process which will include a Road Safety Audit.

Their recommendation to BDC is that MM have no further grounds to refuse this planning application.

North Worcestershire Water Management

No objection subject to conditions relating to:

- A scheme for foul and surface water drainage
- SuDs management plan

Severn Trent Water

No objection subject to condition relating to the following:

Drainage plans for the disposal of surface water and foul sewage

Worcestershire Regulatory Service: Air Quality

No objection

- WRS are satisfied with the findings of the report and they have no adverse comments Conditions
 - Domestic electric vehicle charging points
 - Secure cycle parking
 - Low emissions boilers

Worcestershire Regulatory Service: Noise

No objection

 Due to the close proximity of existing residential properties to the proposed development care should be taken during the construction phase to reduce any adverse impacts caused to local residents. I would therefore recommend that the applicant follow Worcestershire Regulatory Service's Code of Best Practice for Demolition and Construction Sites.

Worcestershire Regulatory Service: Contaminated Land

- No Objection
 - Submission of full standard tiered risk assessment (outline element)
 - Tiered risk assessment (full element)

Waste Management

No objection

Page 12 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

Worcestershire Archive and Archaeological Service

No objection

Suggested conditions:

- The submission of a programme of archaeological work
- Written scheme of investigation

Worcestershire County Council Education Service

The development site lies across the planning districts of both Bromsgrove and Redditch. However, it is situated solely in the educational district of Redditch. A three-tier system of education is predominantly in place.

The schools considered to be directly related to the proposed development are the catchment area schools of Tardebigge CE First School and Holyoakes Field First School, Birchensale Middle School and Trinity High School.

In addition, the existing Holyoakes Field First School is due to relocate to Brockhill site and will serve the proposed development. There is very little capacity at first schools within the area and this is further evidenced at the next three nearest schools located to the proposed development.

With regards to middle school infrastructure, Birchensale will be impacted by other largescale development at Foxlydiate and it is therefore prudent to identify the longer-term proposals for middle schools in the area. A further 3 schools are located within the statutory walking distance and may be considered as directly related to the development.

In response to the application, the transfer of a strip of land adjacent the new first school site to support the expansion of the school together with an education contribution for the first school phase would be sought of:

£2,307 per open market 2 or 3 bed dwelling £3,461 per open market 4 or more bed dwelling £ 923 per open market 2 or more bed flat

The contribution will be used to support the expansion of Holyoakes Field First School (Brockhill site).

In response to the application an education contribution for the middle school phase would be sought of:

£2,308 per open market 2 or 3 bed dwelling £3,462 per open market 4 or more bed dwelling £ 923 per open market 2 or more bed flat

The contribution will be used to support the expansion of a middle school serving the catchment area of the proposed development.

Page 13 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

No contribution will be sought for education infrastructure towards the high school phase (years 9-13).

NHS Redditch and Bromsgrove Clinical Commissioning Group

Redditch and Bromsgrove CCG have identified that the development will give rise to a need for additional primary healthcare provision to mitigate impacts arising from the development. The proposed development is likely to have an impact on the services of 8 GP practices in the area. The GP practices do not have capacity for the additional growth resulting from this development. CCG calculates the level of contribution required in this instance to be £363,374, which will be towards new and additional premises.

Worcestershire Acute Hospitals NHS Trust

- The contribution requested for this proposed development is £459,390.86. The money will be spent to meet the marginal costs of direct delivery of healthcare for the additional population. This will include the cost of medical, nursing and other health professional staff, which may be incurred at a premium rate. The money will also meet increases in other direct costs associated with healthcare delivery, for example, diagnostic examinations, consumables and equipment.
- Most emergency demand within Worcestershire flows into Worcestershire Royal Hospital and the Alexandra Hospital. It is highly likely that demand from new housing development will follow these patterns.
- Worcestershire Acute Hospitals NHS Trust (the Trust) is currently operating at full capacity in the provision of acute and planned healthcare.
- It is further demonstrated that although the Trust has plans to cater for the known population growth, it cannot plan for unanticipated additional growth in the short to medium term.
- The Trust is paid for the activity it has delivered subject to satisfying the quality requirements set down in the NHS Standard Contract. Quality requirements are linked to the on-time delivery of care and intervention and are evidenced by best clinical practice to ensure optimal outcomes for patients. The contract is agreed annually based on previous year's activity plus any pre-agreed additional activity for clinical
- service development and predicted population growth (this does not include ad-hoc housing developments).
- The following year's contract does not pay previous year's increased activity. The contribution is being sought not to support a government body but rather to enable that body to provide services needed by the occupants of the new development, and the funding for which, as outlined below, cannot be sourced from elsewhere. The development directly affects the ability to provide the health service required to those who live in the development and the community at large. Without the contribution, the development is not sustainable and should be refused.

Natural England

No objection - Hewell Park Lake Site of Special Scientific Interest – No objection Based on the plans submitted, Natural England considers that the proposed development will not damage or destroy the interest features for which the site has been notified and has no objection.

Page 14 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

Leisure Services

No objection

Leisure have identified two priorities in and around Abbey Stadium including outdoor changing facilities and cycling. In terms of the outdoor changing facilities that would help divert the increase in demand resulting from the proposed development outside of the leisure centre building and into the wider Abbey Stadium grounds. In relation to the potential cost of this element, based upon the 2nd quarter 2020 facility cost updates produced by Sport England, this estimates a 75 sqm changing room to be around £265,000. The priority for the Council's Leisure Department is for investment to provide a 3G artificial grass pitch at the Abbey Stadium, of which part of the £1.2m Foxlydiate contribution will pay for. Alongside the 3G pitch work, the intention would be to complete the outdoor changing facilities to promote access to this new facility.

The second priority relates to cycling. This would contribute to the emerging cycling master plan for the Borough and as part of that connect Brockhill East with the great open space of the Arrow Valley. This contribution will contribute to the Council's intention to develop the cycling "hub" on the Abbey site with the development of a closed circuit track consolidating the relationship between the Redditch Road and Path Cycle Club and the wider Borough's cycling path developments. The outline costs from the Council's civils contractor, estimated to be approximately £140,000.

We would request that we have final approval/input of the on-site scheme/design to ensure it conforms to other Play Designs and appropriate to the size of the development. We would be happy to be involved in the designing of the scheme.

Sport England

No objection subject to securing the required contributions to help to meet the demand created by residents of the proposed development.

Worcestershire Wildlife Trust

No objection subject to the following conditions:

- A CEMP
- A LEMP
- SUDS
- Lighting

Cadent Gas Ltd

No objection

Conservation Officer

No objection

Page 15 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

Housing Strategy

No objection

The % mix of open market and affordable units is acceptable and the siting of the affordable units around the site is what I would expect.

Arboricultural Officer

No objection

There is a large amount of open landscaping area shown within the site which would allow opportunity to include a substantial amount of tree planting within the development. I would therefore request that an extensive native tree planting scheme is included within the development.

Condition

 All retained trees and hedge lines upon the site will need to be afforded protection in accordance with BS5837:2012 through out any ground and development works on the site and an arboricultural method statement and protection plan will need to be submitted.

Health and Safety Executive

No objection

Network Rail

No objection

- Risk Assessment and Method Statement (RAMS) for all works to be undertaken within 10m of the operational railway under Construction
- Suitable boundary fencing
- Details of scaffolding works within 10m of the railway boundary
- Vibro-Impact Machinery
- Drainage proposals and Network Rail land
- Excavation and Earthworks and Network Rail land:
- Parking / Hard Standing Area

CPRE

We are not opposing the principle of development. We did not oppose this site at the Examination of the Plans and do not do so now. There are however some aspects of the plan about which we are not happy and would hope you can persuade the applicants to revise their plans to take account of these issues.

- There is a lot of open space (which is potentially good), but this all appears to be sloping land, some steep enough to need zigzag footpaths. It is important that open space should be land that can be beneficially used for recreation, for example sports fields. I appreciate that much of the site is sloping, but think that there must be areas that are flat enough to be levelled to make sports pitches.
- Policy BDP7 emphasises 2 and 3 bedroom houses. The majority of what is proposed in the detailed part of the application is 3-bedroom. I suspect that more 2-bedroom houses may be required, perhaps also more 1-bedroom affordable houses. However I am not fully familiar with housing demand in Redditch. The

Page 16 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

housing make up for application of this scale ought to be driven by what the town needs, not what the developer may find most profitable.

These issues perhaps relate more to the outline aspects of the application, rather than where it is a full application. Care needs to be taken that granting planning consent for such a wide area, which will be required to be in accordance with the plans submitted does not have the effect of predetermining issues as to later phases of the development in a manner that may subsequently prove to be unwelcome. Furthermore, the need to determine at this stage issues relating to later phases (such as financial contributions) may delay the formal grant of consent for Phase 1. In view of the state of housing land supply in Bromsgrove and the longwinded negotiations over Foxlydiate, Perryfields, and Whitford, there may be a good reason for the councils to grant detailed consent for the early phases quickly, leaving later phases for subsequent negotiation.

Tutnall And Cobley Parish Council

Tutnall and Cobley Parish Council has no comment regarding this planning application.

Alvechurch Parish Council

No objection, however, it is the intention of the Parish Council to write making representations regarding traffic management, congestion on the A441 and disruption to the free flow of traffic on this busy arterial link between Redditch and Alvechurch/Birmingham during the construction phase of the development; if both Councils are minded to approve the application.

This was followed by a further representation in October 2020 which stated the following:

Since making these comments, APC has had very recent contacts with Bordesley residents complaining about the current excess vehicle speeds and problems for pedestrians, especially school children, in crossing this very busy road.

Useful discussion has followed with County Cllr Hotham about measures that can be introduced on this part of the A441 including a further vehicle activated speeding sign, and exploring a change in the speed limit from 40mph to 30 mph between the Redditch boundary going northbound to the bus stop and shelter, the mid way point in the A441 Bordesley section having houses on both sides of the road. Since this kind of speed reduction is compatible with Dept of Transport advisory documents on Village Signs, APC hopes this can be introduced soon and ahead of construction work starting at Weights Lane.

With County Cllr Hotham we have also examined the case for a pedestrian refuge or other crossing arrangement to be installed at or near the A441 junction with Dagnell End Road. This builds on his earlier comment made about this planning application. Accordingly, the Parish Council is pleased to see that the pedestrian crossing topic has been addressed in the Weights Lane development transport assessment as part of the

Page 17 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

traffic load mitigation measures. See following: mitigation works examined by PJA and shown on the documents list for this planning application: 3

Assuming the planning application is approved and in the primary interests of Bordesley residents, APC urges quick action on these mitigation measures by Worcestershire County Council as highway authority and Persimmon as developers. We hope there will be no undue delays from the issues around cyclists and their benefit from the use of the Toucan style of crossing.

In our earlier comments on this planning application we drew attention to traffic flow on the A441 through Bordesley during the construction phase of this next development on the overall site.

The previous phase of site construction in this area caused both huge congestion for A441 road users going to and coming from Redditch and major difficulty for Bordesley residents wishing to leave or enter their properties because of traffic congestion and queuing. Traffic was often stationery for long periods of time largely due to poor management of temporary traffic light signals and their lack of synchronicity with the permanent traffic lights at the A441 / Dagnell End Road junction.

From that wholly unacceptable situation persisting in Bordesley for over a year while housing construction was underway, APC formally complained to the RBC chief executive, provided a detailed critical report on traffic management failures and met to discuss this with the Redditch Head of Planning and the then WCC senior highways manager. We were told that better WCC supervision would happen in future cases of temporary traffic lights installations with monitoring of the use of "spotters" in reducing excessive traffic queuing.

We will expect to see as part of the conditions attached to planning approval for this development, an agreement between WCC, the developer and its agents for a much improved traffic management plan for the A441 at and near the Weights Lane site during the construction period This should include provision for regular meetings between the developers agents, WCC officers and APC to ensure the A441 traffic management plan is working satisfactorily. An important addition to overall traffic management will be made if contractors and their materials approach the site by exiting the M42 at junction 3 and entering Redditch by the Eastern Gateway.

Cllr Hotham

Comments made as County Councillor, not Bromsgrove Councillor

I have just seen this application and as county councillor for the very close neighbouring area of Bordesley I would like to request that a section 106 contribution is required to complete the pavement over the brook on the A441 towards M and S. I would also suggest that money should be found to alter the traffic lights to allow for a pedestrian crossing to be installed.

Page 18 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

Further comments submitted:

Should this be given approval I would like to ask for improvements to be made to the Redditch Road. Please could the pavement be continued past the bridge on the petrol station side to allow residents of the new properties to access on foot the associated supermarket. In addition, I would like ideally like to request a signalled pedestrian crossing so residents could also access the pub on the other side of the road safely. Should this not be possible please could a central refuge area be considered.

6.0 Pre Application Consultation Exercise by Persimmon

- 6.1 The NPPF recognises that early engagement has significant potential to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the planning application system for all parties. The Council's adopted Statement of Community Involvement suggests examples of pre-application community involvement.
- 6.2 The applicant held a public consultation event to share information about the proposed development and to canvass thoughts and views about the project. This event provided the opportunity for local residents to raise questions regarding the proposal, and are summarised below:
 - Off-site traffic
 - On-site Traffic/ School Traffic/ Parking
 - Public Facilities (Doctors etc.)
 - Local Centre/ Community Centre
 - Bus stop/ route
- 6.3 These concerns form a material consideration in the assessment of this planning application and the concerns raised have been addressed within this report.

7.0 Public Consultation Response

7.1 A joint consultation exercise was undertaken between Redditch Borough Council and Bromsgrove District Council. Detailed responses are available to view on Bromsgrove's Website under reference 19/00976/HYB.

Publicity

- 823 letters sent 25th July 2019 (expired 18th August 2019)
- Additional letters sent 5th November 2020 (expired 22nd November 2020)
- Site notices posted 23rd July 2019 (expired 16th August 2019)
- Press notices published in the Bromsgrove Standard and Redditch Standard 22nd July 2019 (expired 12th August 2019)

Page 19 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

74 representations received **objecting** to the scheme on the following principal issues:

Principle

- The site is unsuitable for housing development
- The site is not sustainable
- Further development will have a negative impact on Redditch
- Redditch and Bromsgrove have already had its share of housing with additional sites coming forward
- Alternative sites should be considered, there is sufficient brownfield sites available
- Development will result in a loss of countryside
- Development will result in a loss of Green Belt land

Form of Development

- Out of scale
- Detrimental visual impact
- Loss of views
- Insufficient open space

Air Quality

- Concerns regarding the increase in air pollution
- · Perceived health issues arising from poor air quality

Highways and Access

- Development will add to the already congested roads in this area and through Redditch
- Brockhill Lane is unsuitable for further traffic
- Highways safety
- Pedestrian safety concerns
- Lack of public transport
- Rat running to avoid the gueues
- Insufficient parking for new dwellings
- Increase in on street parking for the rest of the Brockhill Estate
- A441 no bypass/Bordesley By-Pass
- Residents already cannot exit the Millwood Meadows (Bovis Homes) roundabout this will make situation worse
- Long queues

Noise and Disruption

- Concerns regarding the increased noise from traffic and development
- Concerns regarding the disruption during development from site traffic and work
- Delays and disruption caused by highways work

Drainage and Flood Risk

- Drainage in the area is not adequate for the development
- Increase existing drainage issues

Page 20 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

Development will increase the risk of flooding

Biodiversity and Trees

- Destruction of wildlife habitats
- Concerns regarding the removal of tree and hedgerows and the effect this will have on the ecology of the site and wildlife
- Impact on protected species

Infrastructure

- There is a general lack of infrastructure to support the development and this should be in place before the development takes place
- Concerns about the additional number of children seeking places at local school
- Concerns about the increase in waiting times at dentists and doctors
- Impact on hospitals
- Impact on existing parks and open space
- Lack of public services and shops
- Lack of internet infrastructure

Other Matters

- Lack of public consultation
- Lighting impact

Other issues have been raised but these are not material planning considerations and have not been reported.

8.0 Assessment of Proposal

- 8.1 The main planning issues to consider in respect of this application are;
 - Strategic Planning Background
 - The Principle of Development
 - Loss of Agricultural Land
 - Design
 - Transportation and Accessibility
 - Heritage Assets
 - Air Quality
 - Green Infrastructure
 - Ecology
 - Water Management and Flood Risk
 - Ground Conditions
 - Landscape and Visual Impact
 - Noise
 - Residential Amenity
 - Utilities
 - Infrastructure Requirements

Page 21 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

Planning Balance

9.0 Strategic Planning Background

- 9.1 The key policy within the Local Plan regarding the application is Policy 46. This sets out that a strategic site at Brockhill East is appropriate for a high-quality mixed-use development comprising around 1,025 dwellings, employment (8.45 hectares) and relevant community facilities and services, a district centre (including convenience retail store), a first school and a sustainable public transport network.
- 9.2 Policy 46 identifies several key principles, and these include:
 - To incorporate a mix of housing types and the Council's current standard of affordable housing provision
 - To deliver a district centre of high-quality design, avoiding design flaws which contribute to a feeling of being unsafe which meets local convenience needs
 - To deliver a school capable of use as a community facility including playing pitches
- 9.3 Policy 46 also identifies several factors of relevance for its design and for infrastructure. These include:
 - The need for the whole strategic site to be successfully integrated with the
 existing Brockhill area and for its design to allow for a natural extension to the
 urban area, so to improve the character and quality of the area and the way it
 functions
 - Development must respect and be sympathetic to the typography of the site and in particular consideration should be given to the steeper slopes with no development on prominent ridge lines and the avoidance of excessive remodelling of land
 - Green infrastructure must be provided based on the needs identified in the Green Infrastructure Concept Statement for Brockhill East including open spaces in line with the Open Space Provision SPD, landscaped areas, the utilisation of the Red Ditch and/or new balancing areas for biodiversity opportunities
 - The quality, diversity and distinctiveness of the landscape character and historic features must be conserved, enhanced and where necessary restored
 - Landscaping should be reflective of the Wooded Estate land
 - Landscape type, with a sensitive landscape treatment being applied along the site boundaries.
- 9.4 With regards to infrastructure the following items were identified as being of relevance:
 - Proposals should demonstrate that there is no adverse risk of pollution to controlled waters
 - The site must be accessible by choice of modes of sustainable transport, this may involve investment in necessary transport infrastructure

Page 22 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

- A link road from Brockhill Drive (B4184) to Weights Lane should be implemented which incorporates any necessary environmental improvements
- A transport assessment must be provided which demonstrates how the implications of the development will be mitigated against and detailing safe access and egress
- Flood risk measures must be informed by a site-specific flood risk assessment
- An appropriate Sustainable Urban Drainage System to attenuate and balance any surface water run-off must be incorporated
- Drainage system upgrades identified in the Water Cycle Strategy must be in place
- Drainage proposals should include appropriate pollution prevention measures.
- 9.5 The Local Plan makes clear that the first phase of the strategic site is expected to be delivered within 5 years of the Local Plan adoption with the remainder to be delivered within 10 years following Local Plan adoption.
- 9.6 The wider proposed development does not involve just the allocated land under Policy 46. It also includes land allocated with the Bromsgrove District Plan.
- 9.7 Paragraphs 8.53-8.54 of Appendix 1 to the BORLP4 it states that:

"The Worcestershire Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) identifies that Redditch's housing requirements up to 2030 should be around 6,380 dwellings. The Redditch Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) identifies that Redditch Borough only has the capacity to accommodate around 3000 dwellings within its own boundaries, leaving a shortfall of around 3400. Bromsgrove District Council and Redditch Borough Council have worked together in accordance with the Duty to Cooperate to find preferred locations to accommodate this shortfall. An assessment (Housing Growth Development Study January 2013) has been carried out, building upon a consultation conducted in 2010, to ensure that the most suitable and sustainable sites have been selected.

Two sustainable mixed use urban extensions (Foxlydiate and Brockhill) are proposed adjacent to the west and north of Redditch Town which will deliver two new sustainable communities. The two development sites, as shown on Page 41, will provide a minimum of 3400 dwellings and comprehensive provision of associated new infrastructure to meet some of Redditch's housing requirements up to 2030. These sites are currently designated as Green Belt*; however exceptional circumstances exist to allocate these sites to meet development needs. These developments will create balanced communities that fully integrate into the existing residential areas of Redditch, addressing the social, economic and environmental elements of sustainable development, whilst being sympathetic to the surrounding rural areas of Bromsgrove."

Page 23 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

- 9.8 Paragraph 8.56 of Appendix 1to the BORLP4 goes on to identify Brockhill as Site2. It is allocated for approximately 600 dwellings which will integrate with the Strategic Site at Brockhill East.
- 9.9 Alongside the allocation, policy RCBD1 also sets out detailed principles and criteria that should be adhered to in order achieve sustainable communities on the cross boundary allocation sites. This includes the main requirements for:
 - Up to 40% affordable housing, with a mix of house types and tenures
 - An overall Transport Assessment taking account of the individual and cumulative effects of development on transport infrastructure. This will need to define the mitigation necessary to maintain the safety and operation of the road network.
 - Significant improvements in passenger transport to result in integrated and regular bus services.
 - An overall Strategy and Management Plan for Green Infrastructure which maximises opportunities for biodiversity and recreation
 - Walking and cycling routes well integrated with the Green Infrastructure network and a number of other detailed requirements which are equally important.
 - Development proposals should incorporate provision for any necessary infrastructure to be delivered in parallel with the implementation of new development.
- 9.10 Policy RCBD1 forms a component of the Development Strategy Chapter of the Bromsgrove District Plan 2011-2030 adopted in January 2017 for crossreferencing and completeness.

10.0 Principle of Development

- 10.1 Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires that any application for planning permission must be determined in accordance with the Development Plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. Members will be aware that the Development Plan for the area comprises the Borough of Redditch Local Plan No 4 2017.
- 10.2 Several representations refer to the application constituting inappropriate development in the Green Belt. Brockhill was taken out of the Green Belt with the adoption of the Redditch Local Plan in 2017, the site was then confirmed as a residential development site. For the avoidance of doubt, a refusal of this application would not have the effect of restoring the Green Belt designation which

Page 24 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

once existed. Nor would it alter the Local Plan allocation of this site for development.

- 10.3 The NPPF seeks to promote sustainable development. The achievement of this aim requires consideration of the inter-linked social, economic and environmental dimensions. Pursuing sustainable development involves seeking positive improvements in the quality of the built environment and in the quality of life; this includes improving the conditions in which people live, work and travel, and also widening the choice of homes (paragraphs 7-8).
- 10.4 With regard to transport, paragraph 111 of the NPPF states that all developments that will generate significant amounts of movement should be required to provide a travel plan and the application should be supported by a transport statement or transport assessment so that the likely impact of the proposal can be assessed. Plans and decisions should take account of opportunities for sustainable transport modes; safe and suitable access for all; and cost-effective improvements to the transport network, to limit significant impacts. However, permission should only be refused on highway grounds if there would be an unacceptable impact on highway safety, or the residual cumulative impacts on the road network would be severe.
- 10.5 Paragraph 130 states that permission should be refused for development of poor design, which fails to take opportunities for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions, taking into account any local design standards or style guides in plans or supplementary planning documents.
- 10.6 Using the most up to date monitoring information in April 2020, Redditch Borough Council cannot demonstrate a 5 year supply of deliverable housing land sites, Redditch has 3.24-year supply. This means that paragraph 11d of the National Planning Policy Framework is engaged for the reasons set out below.
- 10.7 Paragraph 11 as a whole sets out a presumption in favour of sustainable development and the second part for Decision-Taking states:

"For Decision-Taking this means:

- c) approving development proposals that accord with an up-to-date development plan without delay; or
- d) where there are no relevant development plan policies, or the policies which are most important for determining the application are out-of-date, granting permission unless:
- i) the application of policies in this Framework that protect areas or assets of particular importance provides a clear reason for refusing the development proposed; or
- ii) any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in this Framework taken as a whole."

Page 25 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

- 10.8 Footnote 7 of the NPPF states that "This includes, for applications involving the provision of housing, situations where the local planning authority cannot demonstrate a five year supply of deliverable housing sites (with appropriate buffer as set out in paragraph 73)". Therefore the presumption in favour of sustainable development is engaged by reason of the inability of Redditch BC who's housing need this site relates to being able to demonstrate a five year supply of housing land, and thus the most important policies for dealing with the application could be viewed to be out of date.
- 10.9 The trigger in paragraph 11d was perhaps drafted with speculative, non-allocated, windfall sites in mind and it is felt that sites such as Brockhill which benefit from inclusion in a development plan were not the intended focus of the test. These sites would be expected to be in accordance with the development plan and thus be approved "without delay" (paragraph 11c). Nonetheless, the Councils are in a position where they do not have a five year supply of housing sites, the site does not fall within an area protected by policies in the Framework as listed at footnote 6 (SSSI, Green Belt, AONB etc) and therefore, by default, paragraph 11d is engaged.
- 10.10 However, determination of the application does not rest wholly on section 'd' of the NPPF above, as the policies within the development plan which do not restrict the supply of housing remain material and still carry substantial weight. However, mindful of the five year housing supply position for Redditch, the considerations under section 'd' take on added weight.
- 10.11 This means that planning permission should be granted unless the adverse impact of doing so would significantly outweigh the benefits when assessed against the Framework as a whole, or in specific circumstances where development should be restricted. Local Plan policies continue to be relevant to determining site-specific issues and whether a development can be considered 'sustainable'.
- 10.12 It is therefore considered that other material factors must be considered and whether the approval of the application would undermine the Redditch Local Plan No 4 2017 and whether the proposal would ensure a sustainable and welldesigned development.

11.0 Loss of Agricultural Land

- 11.1 Paragraph 170(b) of the NPPF as amplified by Footnote 53 of the NPPF states "Where significant development of agricultural land is demonstrated to be necessary, areas of poorer quality land should be preferred to those of a higher quality."
- 11.2 There is no evidence that the housing needs of Redditch can be met by avoiding development of such land having regard to the extent of the authority and other

Page 26 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

land restrictions. The loss of such land constitutes a dis-benefit of the proposal but not one which would justify refusal when balanced against issues of 5 year housing land supply and the limited availability of land to meet such need. The Local Plan's Inspector was aware of this issue when he endorsed this site for residential development in the plan.

12.0 Design

- 12.1 The NPPF at paragraph 124 states that "The creation of high quality buildings and places is fundamental to what the planning and development process should achieve. Good design is a key aspect of sustainable development, creates better places in which to live and work and helps make development acceptable to communities. Being clear about design expectations, and how these will be tested, is essential for achieving this. So too is effective engagement between applicants, communities, local planning authorities and other interests throughout the process".
- 12.2 The NPPF at paragraph 127 advises that planning policies and decisions should ensure that developments:
 - a) will function well and add to the overall quality of the area, not just for the short term but over the lifetime of the development;
 - b) are visually attractive as a result of good architecture, layout and appropriate and effective landscaping;
 - c) are sympathetic to local character and history, including the surrounding built environment and landscape setting, while not preventing or discouraging appropriate innovation or change (such as increased densities);
 - d) establish or maintain a strong sense of place, using the arrangement of streets, spaces, building types and materials to create attractive, welcoming and distinctive places to live, work and visit;
 - e) optimise the potential of the site to accommodate and sustain an appropriate amount and mix of development (including green and other public space) and support local facilities and transport networks; and
 - f) create places that are safe, inclusive and accessible and which promote health and well-being, with a high standard of amenity for existing and future users; and where crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine the quality of life or community cohesion and resilience.
- 12.3 Paragraph 130 confirms that permission should be refused for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions, taking into account any local design standards or style guides in plans or supplementary planning documents. Conversely, where the design of a development accords with clear expectations in plan policies, design should not be used by the decision-maker as a valid reason to object to development. Local planning authorities should also seek to ensure that the quality of approved development is not materially diminished between permission and completion, because of changes being made to the permitted

Page 27 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

scheme (for example through changes to approved details such as the materials used).

- 12.4 Within Redditch, BORLP4 Policy 39 states development in the Borough should contribute positively to the local character of the area, responding to and integrating with distinctive features in the surrounding environment, particularly if located within a historic setting. All development proposals should:
 - Seek to optimise the potential of the site to accommodate sustainable development through making the most efficient use of the space available
 - Be resilient to the effects of climate change, whilst also protecting and enhancing local distinctive and historic features to improve the character and quality of the local environment
 - Incorporate features of the natural environment including infrastructure
- 12.5 Policy 40 deals with good design. It states that good design should contribute positively to making the Borough a better place to live, work and visit. All development should be of a high-quality design that reflects or compliments the local surroundings and materials. It should incorporate distinctive corner buildings, landmarks, gateways and focal points at key junctions. Key vistas creating visual links between places in the Borough should be protected and enhanced. Community safety should be encouraged and the vulnerability to crime 'designed out'.
- 12.6 Throughout the application process the applicant has worked to ensure that the development on the site represents high quality design and aspirations of place making. Whilst it is recognised that the Master plan for the site is illustrative, because of the hybrid nature of the application, there are elements which are sought in full, and when read as a whole the development seeks to create a good relationship between the existing buildings around the site and the proposed housing. It is noted that where possible attention has been paid to ensure that the housing areas are not in conflict with the existing housing in both design terms and the relationship to ensure neither are overbearing to each other.
- 12.7 The original Capacity Plan has been revised since submission, this is following feasibility in relation to the outline area of the scheme to ensure that Persimmon make best use of the land available and address the various constraints associated with the site. The largest constraint of the site is in relation to the topography and this now ensures that the road gradients match the detailed scheme requirements, which have been discussed at length with officers. In accordance with the Landscape and Visual Appraisal, the scheme now ensures that there is no built development on or close to the highest points of the site and instead these areas will be attractive green spaces and the creation of footpaths enabling viewing points across Redditch.

Page 28 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

- 12.8 Turning to the design of the dwellings it should be noted that throughout the application process changes have been made to the design of some of the house types and location of the dwellings.
- 12.9 In terms of the house types these have been altered and designed to provide a character area for this section of the site, demonstrating a small palette of materials which reflect a quality to the design of the buildings. Changes have been made to the house types across the site and where necessary additional side facing windows have been provided which ensure overlooking to both street frontages and offer architectural interest to side elevations. The house types have been designed with a palette of materials to complement the existing housing on the previous phases. Whilst the palette of materials is minimal, it is considered that this will ensure high quality design through the choice of high quality materials.
- 12.10 The house types are predominately 2 storey, with some 2.5 storey and bungalows. It should be noted that the dwellings in the full application area are able to demonstrate a minimum garden depth of 10.5m, and it is considered that the separation distances between properties with a back to back relationship is sufficient to avoid overlooking. It is considered that sufficient amenity space would be provided to the proposed new dwellings.
- 12.11 The full element of the application will have a density of approximately 31 dwellings per hectare, the remaining residential areas brought forward through the reserved matters would have approximately 36 dwellings per hectare. The development of the site and density is influenced strongly by topography, open space provision, protected trees, pipeline off-setting zones. The density is acceptable in this location and is entirely compatible with the earlier two phases. It is considered that the development responds to the identified constraints whilst demonstrating efficiency in terms of land use.
- 12.12 In terms of pedestrian and vehicular routes through the site, streets and areas of the site are now permeable and generally do not limit movement and create only very limited cul-de-sacs in certain locations. Through routes also provide ease of movement for vehicles and waste collection and reduces the need for turning heads. The parking relationships to properties to ensure that parking is as close to dwellings as possible.
- 12.13 The layout of the remainder of the site is still to be determined and would be reviewed under the submission of phased reserved matters, and again attention would be paid to ensuring sufficient visitor parking provision can be accommodated. Car parking has been designed to be easily accessible from the dwellings. The reserved matters would look at the scale and design of the dwellings to ensure that they are appropriate to the site and achieve adequate separation between the dwellings and proposed and existing other uses and are of a suitable design in relation to the site as a whole and the surrounding area.

Page 29 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

- 12.14 With regards to the boundary treatments, hedges rather than dwarf walls are encouraged to retain the soft approach to landscaping around the site. Areas of close boarded fencing will be visible from the public realm and it is noted that there are many areas of incidental open space adjacent to properties. Whilst these areas help to provide a softer approach to landscaping in the wider context of the scheme, it is important to establish both areas of defensible space and those which will fall under a management company. This element of the proposal is being dealt with under the s106 agreement to deal with open space and landscape maintenance. It is also therefore considered reasonable and necessary to condition submission of further landscape details to encourage robust planting schemes to these areas to help improve the overall streetscene and site.
- 12.15 Throughout the application process the architectural design approach across the site has been re-visited to ensure that multi-modal through-routes have been incorporated. As such it is considered that the application meets with the provisions of the Local Plan and would conserve and enhance the setting of Brockhill as a whole.

13.0 Transportation and Accessibility

- 13.1 Within Policy 46 Brockhill East criterion xviii. requires a Transport Assessment must be provided which demonstrates how the implications of the development will be mitigated against and detailing the safe access and egress.
- 13.2 RBCD1.4 criterion II states that —"An overall Transport Assessment will be produced taking account of the prevailing traffic conditions and the individual and cumulative effects of development on transport infrastructure. This will define the mitigation necessary to protect the safety and operation of the road network, including sustainable travel measures and any new and improved access arrangements".
- 13.3 A detailed Transport Assessment (TA) has been prepared by PJA in support of the hybrid planning application. The assessment process has been lengthy and detailed to ensure the transportation evidence being used to support this application is robust. The approach adopted has been a traditional method with engagement between WCC, RBC, BDC and BDCs retained independent highways consultant Mott Macdonald, to ensure that the outcomes of the assessment can be appraised fully. The TA and further supporting information and reports has assessed the impact of development upon the local and strategic highway networks in terms of traffic generation and has also considered the accessibility of the site via alternative modes of travel.
 - The proposals will take vehicular access from the Weights Lane carriageway, positioned to the north of the site. Weights Lane will be diverted into the site to the west of the existing railway bridge. Priority T junctions will then take access from the south side of the extended carriageway. Further improvements to the

Page 30 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

Weights Lane corridor will be provided to manage traffic flows under the railway bridge and to provide pedestrian infrastructure.

- A further access is to be provided via the Brockhill East Phase 1 and 2 residential estate roads to the south of the site (Cookridge Close and Hawling Street). Cookridge Close is an estate road within the completed Brockhill Phase 1 development.
- In addition to the Weights Lane improvement scheme, improvements are identified to the Dagnell End Road / A441 Birmingham Road signal junction and this scheme is to be delivered by the applicant as part of a S278 Agreement.
- 13.4 All accesses have been demonstrated to be achievable and will mitigate the impacts of the proposals.

Dagnell End Road / A441 Birmingham Road Junction

- 13.5 The junction currently experiences congestion during weekday peak hours and is located on a primary route connecting Reddtich to the wider areas to the north, including Birmingham and access to the M42. A mitigation scheme has been identified for the junction, providing a 'nil-detriment' situation in terms of capacity, with wider benefits to the local community by providing a signal-controlled pedestrian crossing, operated by push button.
- 13.6 The junction scheme utilises land purchased by the applicant from the Local Planning Authority for the sole purpose of delivering a junction improvement in this location, in what is a constraint location in terms of land ownership. The improvement scheme is shown in the PJA Drawing Ref: 2809 P 12 Rev P4 (include in pres).
- 13.7 In terms of the phasing this work, the full element of the Hybrid can be started prior to the Dagnell End Road improvement scheme being required. This is justified to ensure sufficient time for the applicant team to obtain full technical approval for the junction scheme, without halting the delivery of construction on site. There is also a need to build in space between the Weights Lane improvements finishing and the Dangell End Road works starting.
- 13.8 The Highway Authority accepts this position in retaining people in jobs and housing continuing to be built (also affecting the wider supply chain) at a time of recession relating to Covid-19. It is acknowledged that this will place some minor additional traffic impacts on an already congested junction for a short time, but on the premise that an improvement scheme is to be delivered in the medium term. As few network safety issues are identified at the junction, and the scale of impact being relatively small and typical of daily variation movements, the Highway Authority believes this balanced view and way forward to be acceptable.

Page 31 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) Contributions

- 13.9 A number of junction improvement schemes are to be improved through the IDP process in place in both Redditch and Bromsgrove. The IDP identifies infrastructure required to accommodate the Local Plan and contributions from allocated developments are made to deliver these schemes.
- 13.10 The required amounts include:
 - Redditch IDP £780,000: This is a total IDP contribution of £1.3 million, less the £520,000 IDP cost to improve the Dagnell End Road junction, which forms a separate S278 Agreement.
 - Contributions from the Redditch IDP would be directed to junctions shown to receive a modest impact from the proposals. These junctions include the Windsor Road / Hewell Road Junction and the A441 / Millrace Road / B4184 'Riverside Roundabout' (near Sainsburys).
 - Bromsgrove IDP £469,429.03: The contribution towards improvements to A38 junctions including:

•	A38 Buntsford Drive	£328,196.42
•	A38 Charford Road	£76,619.35
•	A38 New Road	£35,368.31
•	A38/A448 Oakalls	£29,244.95

13.11 These contributions combined with this those collected for other strategic developments will help deliver the mitigation strategy identified in the IDP documents.

Connectivity

- 13.12 Policy 46 Brockhill East criterion xvi. requires the Strategic Site to be accessible by a choice of sustainable transport.
- 13.13 Policy RCBD1.4 criterion XIV. is also relevant in consideration of this issue: "XIV. Any proposals for development on either site must not individually or cumulatively jeopardise the future use of any other part of the site (s) or impede the delivery of the two sustainable communities".
- 13.14 A travel plan has been prepared by PJA which has considered site accessibility and has proposed a series of measures aimed at ensuring the site is accessible by modes of travel other than private car. The travel plan has proposed significant improvements to bus services serving the site, including proposals to modify existing routes to ensure enhanced connectivity.

Page 32 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

- 13.15 The TA and Travel Plan has been the subject of extensive discussion with WCC Highways, to ensure that the range of measures proposed can effectively manage travel patterns and mitigate the impact of development, whilst at the same time ensuring that the site is highly accessible for future residents.
- 13.16 The site is reasonably well located in terms of its proximity to existing pedestrian infrastructure providing access to a range of local amenities. This in part has been provided as part of the earlier Brockhill phases, to which the new proposals will now connect too by a further well designed internal site.
- 13.17 Redditch town centre, offering a wider range of amenities is located within a 25-minute walk or 8-minute cycle from the site. Although further amenities, employment and a primary school, provided as part a district centre, will be situated within the wider Brockhill East site.
- 13.18 Beyond the full application site, the eventual design of walking and cycling routes, taking account of levels constraints across the outline site will be identified and agreed at a Reserve Matters Application stage.

Public Transport

- 13.19 The closest bus stops located to the north of the site at a distance of less than 1km on the Birmingham Road. Bus stops to the south of the site are located on Hewell Road and Batchley Road at distances of circa 1km and 1.4km.
- 13.20 To improve access to public transport, there is an in-principle agreement for the applicant to pay a Section 106 contribution that could contribute to the implementation of a new circular bus service within the site, bring bus access to within a short distance of each dwelling. This would be provided in conjunction with the public transport contribution taken for the Phase 2 proposals. The service is to operate a round trip of approximately 6 miles. Using an average speed of 8 mph compared to the normal average of 10.9 mph to take account of the gradients, the round trip will take 45 minutes. The Highway Authority would envisage the service becoming commercial after 6 years.
- 13.21 The bus service to Phase 3 can only commence when a through route is available through the site, providing access from Weights Lane and the Brockhill Phase 2 site. An initial bus service serving the Phase 2 site will commence prior to the commencement of a Phase 3 bus, initially involving re-routing an existing service. Once a through route is delivered (via Phase 3), the Phase 2 service will cease to operate and will be replaced by a new and enhanced circular service.
- 13.22 The circular service would operate via the bus station in the same manner as existing circular services (47/48 and 57/58) and could potentially serve the Sainsbury Store/ Sports Centre area, part of the Enfield/Batchley area, Weights Lane and Brockhill Phase 2 in addition to the Phase 3 development.

Page 33 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

13.23 Contributions to bus infrastructure are also identified to provide new stops within the site along the new bus route.

Conclusion on Transportation and Accessibility issues

- 13.24 Whilst the application is of a significant scale and will result in an increase in movements across all modes of transport, the application accords with the expected quantum of development in the adopted local plan and appropriate mitigation is presented. The access arrangements have been subject to considerable scrutiny and found to be acceptable by the County Highway Authority. A package of physical works and financial contributions as described by the County Highway Authority are proposed via a legal agreement to ensure any impacts on the network are mitigated.
- 13.25 The Highway Authority and Bromsgrove District Council's Highway Consultants Mott Macdonald (MM) have independently undertaken a robust assessment of the TA. Based on the analysis of the information submitted and consultation responses from third parties the Highway Authority concludes that there would not be a severe residual cumulative impact.
- 13.26 It is also concluded that the proposed development would not cause any unacceptable harm to highway safety. In this respect, the scheme would not conflict with any relevant policies, including those which require transport and safety considerations to be taken into account.
- 13.27 It is noted that many objectors are concerned with a range of highways issues however based upon the response from WCC Highways and the work that Mott MacDonald do on behalf of Bromsgrove Council there are no justifiable grounds on which an objection could be maintained on highway grounds
- 13.28 As a consequence, it is considered that the proposed development would deliver sustainable development in accordance with the requirements of Policy 46, RCBD1.9 (II-IV), and Policies 19, 20 and 22.

14.0 Heritage Assets

- 14.1 The application site is near the Brockhill site, Grade II* Registered Hewell Grange Park and Garden (NHLE ref. 1000886) and associated heritage assets, located c.830m west of the site; and Bordesley Abbey Scheduled Monument (NHLE ref. 1005304), located c.730m east of the site.
- 14.2 In accordance with section 66 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 (LBCA), special regard has been paid to the desirability of preserving listed structures or their settings or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they may possess.

Page 34 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

- 14.3 Policy 36 Historic Environment is relevant in that it sets out that designated heritage assets will be given the highest level of protection and should be conserved and enhanced. Non-designated heritage assets will also need to be conserved and enhanced in a manner appropriate to their significance. Applications for development affecting any heritage assets or its setting must be accompanied by a heritage statement.
- 14.4 Policy RCBD1.4 criterion XV is relevant to consideration of this issue. It states: XV. To ensure the protection of Heritage Assets, future proposals including development boundaries and informed by an understanding of the Setting of Heritage Assets set out in the most recent Setting Assessment(s) produced, or formally endorsed, by the Council in accordance with Current Historic England guidance. Specifically, built development should not take place in the 'no development' areas identified in the Hewell Grange and Lanehouse Farm Setting of Heritage Assets Assessments (both dated December 2015).
- 14.5 Paragraph 193 of the NPPF states that: "When considering the impact of a proposed development on the significance of a designated heritage asset, great weight should be given to the asset's conservation (and the more important the asset, the greater the weight should be). This is irrespective of whether any potential harm amounts to substantial harm, total loss or less than substantial harm to its significance."
- 14.6 Paragraph 196 of the NPPF states that: "Where a development proposal will lead to less than substantial harm to the significance of a designated heritage asset, this harm should be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal".
- 14.7 Paragraph 200 of the NPPF outlines that Local planning authorities should look for opportunities for new development within.... the setting of heritage assets, to enhance or better reveal their significance. Proposals that preserve those elements of the setting that make a positive contribution to the asset (or which better reveal its significance) should be treated favourably.
- 14.8 English Heritage have no objection to the proposal they indicate that the principle of development is established in the Local and District Plans. However, given the topography of the site it is vital that the local authority pay close attention to the eventual layout and height of the proposed dwellings to ensure they do not occupy the highest ground and therefore the most prominent parts of the site in the wider landscape.
- 14.9 The Council conservation officer has indicated no harm to the significance of any other designated or non-designated heritage assets, either directly or through changes to their setting, is anticipated because of the proposed development. It is noted that special consideration of the further reserved matters phases will be required to ensure no undue impact on heritage assets.

Page 35 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

14.10 It is considered that the proposed development would not conflict with the relevant legislation cited above and would accord with the requirements of the development plan in respect of RCBD1 XV and Policy 36 of the BORLP4. Any residual adverse impacts upon the setting of these heritage assets could be mitigated by planning conditions with respect to landscaping.

15.0 Air Quality

- 15.1 Worcestershire Regulatory Services and the Council's Climate Change officer were consulted on the application. The site does not form part of or is situated in the immediate vicinity of a known Air Quality Management Area (AQMA).
- 15.2 Nonetheless, to mitigate the impact of development, air quality mitigation measures which seek to promote sustainable travel and low emission boilers are proposed.
- 15.3 It is considered that these measures could be secured by condition and would comply with Policy 19 of the BORLP4.

16.0 Green Infrastructure

- 16.1 In line with Policy 46, green infrastructure must be provided for Brockhill East including open spaces in line with the Open Space Provision SPD, landscaped areas, the utilisation of the Red Ditch and/or new balancing areas for biodiversity opportunities.
- 16.2 Policy RCBD1.4 criterion XII requires that "All development must be of a high quality design and locally distinctive to its surrounding rural and urban character; contribute to the areas' identity and create a coherent sense of place; and respect and enhance the setting of any heritage asset. There should be a continuous network of streets and spaces, including the provision of public open spaces, creating a permeable layout with well-defined streets".
- 16.3 The site proposes a large area of undeveloped open space (approximately 30 hectares) which would be accessible to both future residents and the public this has evolved because of analysis of the site and its setting. This would be privately managed by a management company the arrangements for which would be secured through the s106 agreement.
- 16.4 Alongside this retention of the ridgeline landscape and associated woodland, with proposed development set well below the ridgeline to avoid encroachment on to the highest ground, a ridgeway amenity walking route will be provided along the ridgeline with key viewpoints out provided with seating. Boundary vegetation will be retained and supplemented with further tree planting. Gaps within retained hedgerows will be planted up with suitable, locally occurring native species and

Page 36 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

retention of the Red Ditch corridor as a key green infrastructure corridor through the Brockhill East development as a whole.

- 16.5 Areas of amenity space will be provided including areas for play and relatively formal areas of greenspace, to be defined at the reserved matters stage. Two potential play spaces are currently indicated on the Illustrative Masterplan. New key pedestrian / recreation routes will connect through the green infrastructure from peripheral access points on Weights Lane and the public right of way at the northern site boundary with routes through the site, including along the 'ridgeway' and the Red Ditch valley to connect to Pointer's Way at the southern tip of the site. The layout of the footpaths will be designed with the intention of reducing gradients across the site for the purpose of accessibility.
- 16.6 The undulating nature of the site makes it unsuitable for the construction of large playing pitches so such provision would be sought off-site via a planning obligation and financial contribution toward enhancement of existing facilities.
- 16.7 The proposal would be in general accordance with policies 46 and RCBD1 XII.

17.0 Ecology

- 17.1 In line with Policy 46, appropriate mitigation measures must be implemented to ensure protection of the natural environment, with benefits from development to biodiversity captured.
- 17.2 Policy RCBD1.4(V) states that:
 - "Both sites will have an overall Strategy and Management Plan for Green Infrastructure which maximises opportunities for biodiversity and recreation, whilst protecting existing biodiversity habitats and landscape geodiversity. Green Corridors should be created around Spring Brook in Site 1 Foxlydiate and the Red Ditch in Site 2 Brockhill. Both sites should be sensitively designed to integrate with the surrounding existing environment and landscape. In particular, development should be respectful and sympathetic to the topography of the sites, with no development on prominent ridge lines and where appropriate retain tree lined boundaries".
- 17.3 An Ecological Appraisal and supporting reports in relation to bats, birds, badgers and herpetofauna have been prepared by FPCR Environment and Design Ltd. These have all assessed the likely effects of the proposed development in terms of Ecology and Wildlife, in the context of the site and surrounding area.
- 17.4 No statutory or non-statutory designated sites occur within the site boundary. There are no statutory sites of international nature conservation importance within a 15km radius of the site.

Page 37 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

- 17.5 No statutory designated sites are present within the site. Two Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) and three statutory locally designated sites Local Nature Reserves (LNR) were identified in 2km of the site.
- 17.6 Important ecological features which are considered relevant to these assessments are Hewell Park Lake Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI), Dagnell End Meadow SSSI, Local Nature Reserves (LNR), Local Wildlife Sites (LWS) including Butler's Hill Wood. Dominant habitats within the site included arable land and improved grassland. Other habitats included species-poor semi-improved grassland, broadleaved woodland plantations, an orchard, a built structure, gardens, amenity grassland, tall ruderal vegetation, scrub, watercourses, ditches, mature trees and hedgerows.
- 17.7 All areas of orchard, broad-leaved plantation woodland, scrub, watercourse and mature and semi-mature trees are retained as part of the development. The proposals retained the majority of the hedgerows across the site. The proposals require loss of one hedgerow and three additional small sections to facilitate construction of the site access.
- 17.8 Natural England have no objection to the proposal. Worcestershire Wildlife Trust (WWT) have raised no objection to the scheme, subject to the imposition of suitable conditions relating to the ecological mitigation and enhancement.
- 17.9 Subject to implementation of appropriate mitigation measures, the proposed development would comply with Policy 46 of BORLP4 and RCBD1.9 (V), 11, 16.

18.0 Water Management and Flood Risk

- 18.1 Policy 46 identified floodrisk measures and then need for appropriate SuDs systems as part of the development. Policy 17 deals with flood risk. It states, amongst other things, that all developments should fall within Flood Zone 1 and where a site falls outside this categorisation a comprehensive flood risk assessment will be required. Development should incorporate water efficiency measures and appropriate sustainable drainage techniques.
- 18.2 Policy 18 identifies that all development proposals including changes to existing buildings will require the inclusion of sustainable drainage systems to manage surface water. Sites of 1 hectare or more will be required to
 - Allocate suitable land and incorporate SUD's techniques within the drainage design
 - Ensure that discharge rate from the development did not exceed existing runoff rates
 - Provide on-site attenuation for the 1% annual probability of flooding.

Page 38 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

18.3 Policy RCBD1.4 (VI) states that:

"Flood risk from the Spring Brook on Site 1 Foxlydiate and the Red Ditch on Site 2 Brockhill East should be managed through measures that work with natural improve to the local water A detailed, site specific, Flood Risk Assessment will be required. This must provide a model of the nearby ordinary watercourses to ascertain the design flood extents, includina 1% plus climate change and determine the developable area of the site. This will inform the sequential approach and the need to include any necessary avoidance or mitigation measures such as the incorporation of open infrastructure within the floodplain regime. Surface water runoff must be managed to prevent flooding on, around and downstream of both sites through the use of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)".

- 18.4 A Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy has been prepared by Travis Baker.
- 18.5 In relation to the full element, the proposed site is located in the north western side of Redditch and the whole of this part of the site drains to the River Arrow. It is all classified as flood zone 1 and there is minimal surface water flood risk indicated on the EA surface water flood risk mapping. The section of the site seeking full permission is located on the northern part of the overall site. There is no watercourse draining this part of the site and overall the land slopes steeply to the south.
- 18.6 The proposed drainage layout detailed in the FRA for the application is considered to be acceptable. The method outlined is acceptable; the proposed discharge rate of surface water to the Arrow via a surface water sewer (ultimately adopted by STW) is also acceptable
- 18.7 As a result, through implementation of the identified mitigation measures and subject to conditions the proposed development will not result in any adverse impact to the water environment and the scheme would comply with the policy requirement of policies 17, 18 and 46 of BORLP4 and RCBD1.4 (VIII).

19.0 Ground Conditions

- 19.1 A ground conditions assessment has been undertaken (in accordance with relevant planning and technical guidance) in relation to potential impacts on human health from soil contamination, risks from ground gas, and the potential effects on Controlled Waters receptors.
- 19.2 Based upon the information available at this stage, there are no potential issues or concerns at the site that cannot be successfully managed and/or mitigated via condition that would preclude the possibility of the proposed development.

Page 39 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

20.0 Landscape and Visual Impact

- 20.1 The site is not subject to any special landscape designation.
- 20.2 This Landscape and Visual Appraisal (LVA) has been carried out by FPCR Environment and Design Ltd.
- 20.3 The Landscape and Visual Appraisal (LVA) has assessed landscape character and visual amenity and the resulting landscape and visual effects of the proposed development on the receiving landscape and visual resource.
- 20.4 The following points provide a summary of site assessment.
 - The site comprises undulating agricultural land, with small blocks of internal woodland along a dividing ridgeline across the site, limited internal boundary hedgerow and occasional trees, the Red Ditch watercourse and peripheral hedgerows, woodland and trees. Brockhill Wood and Butler's Hill Wood, along with a small ridgeline just west of the site provide containment to the north and west. To the north-east the site tips towards the Arrow Valley.
 - Whilst the site has the potential to be widely visible due to the nature of the
 topography, surrounding settlement land uses and woodland limit the number
 of visual receptors identified with views to the site. Whilst there will inevitably be
 some adverse landscape and visual effects at the outset (Year 1 of
 completion), it is judged that the effects of the proposed development and the
 consequential effects will, however, be localised and limited in their extent.
- 20.5 WCC Landscaping Adviser has reviewed Landscape and Visual Appraisal, they conclude that this application is continuing the trajectory set out in previous phases of development and has the potential to deliver excellent integration and green infrastructure to the benefit of the new settlement. They welcome the statement that management of the site landscape and green infrastructure will be addressed in a management plan.
- 20.6 It is noted in some comments, objections have been raised regarding negative visual impact and a loss of views especially from residents of Bordesley. It is inevitable that the development will be visible from some vantage points. It is a substantial development proposal on a site which has varying levels. The LVA identifies a Moderate Adverse for both completion and 15 years post completion for some residents in Bordesley. There is however significant scope for landscaping to mitigate impacts and soften views. New woodland planting would link it together to present a wooded backdrop to the development as the green infrastructure proposals approach maturity.
- 20.7 Taken all this into account, the proposed development would result in high quality design that would comply with BoRLP4 Policies 39, 40.

Page 40 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

21.0 Noise

- 21.1 Sharps Gayler LLP has carried out a noise assessment to assess the current ambient and background noise levels at proposed and existing receptor locations.
- 21.2 A noise survey was undertaken between 6th April and 10th April 2019 at two locations on part of full application site, 50m from the skip hire business within the Weights Farm Business Park and 10 metres from the rail track.
- 21.3 The results of the baseline noise survey and noise prediction calculations indicate that the noise levels should not be a determining factor in granting planning permission in accordance with current guidance.
- 21.4 The report concludes that mitigation measures would need to be incorporated into the site design to ensure that the required internal daytime, and internal night-time noise levels, are achieved.
- 21.5 The remainder of the (outline) application site is further setback from the main noise sources. Standard construction methods, dictated by thermal requirements, would be adequate in those areas to attenuate noise to meet the recommended internal noise levels.
- 21.6 Following review from WRS Noise, they no longer have any objections regarding the proposal, subject to a condition.
- 21.7 As such it is considered that the proposed development would comply with the Local Plan. The Council is satisfied that there would not be any unacceptable impact in respect of noise from traffic for future residents

22.0 Residential Amenity

Construction Phases

- 22.1 The primary source of potential harm to residential amenity would arise during the construction phase of the development, both to existing residents in the established residential dwellings surrounding the site, predominantly within phases 1 and 2, but also of future occupiers as the development progresses and new residents move into homes which will border parts of the development still under construction.
- 22.2 In order to mitigate harm during the construction phase, a robust Construction Environment Management plan is proposed. This will include a requirement to provide specific details regarding the construction phase, including loading and unloading of plant and materials (including times), storage of plant and materials used in constructing the development, measures to control the deposition of mud onto the local road network, measures to control the emission of dust and dirt

Page 41 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

during construction, measures to control noise and vibration during construction, proposed routing or vehicles.

23.0 Utilities

- 23.1 Running through the site are several existing overhead lines (11kV) (OHL), a gas main line and a fuel pipeline. Initial enquiries with the relevant statutory undertakers suggest that the site can be served by the existing electricity, gas and telecoms networks surrounding the site without the need for reinforcement works.
- 23.2 The gas main line is aligned north-east to south-west and requires a 28m easement. This needs to be considered as part of the development proposals. As part of the supply strategy for the site, Powergrid propose undergrounding the OHLs, which run through the centre of the site, north to south.
- 23.3 Consultees have no objections to the development.
- 23.4 Policy 29 Broadband and Telecommunications requires that expansion of electronic communications networks, (including telecommunications and high speed broadband) all developments should make provision for the service infrastructure required at the design stage of any proposal suitable for occupiers of all development.
- 23.5 It is noted that the Millwoods Meadows Residents Group have raised concerns regarding poor internet infrastructure. Due to Bovis Homes not installing fibre cabling into their properties and that they consider that new houses proposed will put further stress on the network.
- 23.6 A planning condition is proposed to ensure that sufficient internet is installed prior to the occupation of each phase of the development.

24.0 Infrastructure Requirements

- 24.1 Policy RCBD1.4 criterion XIII states that:

 XIII. Development proposals should incorporate provision for any necessary infrastructure to be delivered in parallel with the implementation of new development;
- 24.2 In broad terms the s106 would secure funding for a range of consequential requirements. These requirements are summarised in the following section of the report.
- 24.3 Paragraph 54 of the NPPF states that: "Local planning authorities should consider whether otherwise unacceptable development could be made acceptable through the use of conditions or planning

Page 42 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

obligations. Planning obligations should only be used where it is not possible to address unacceptable impacts through a planning condition."

- 24.4 Financial contributions to mitigate the impact of the development cannot be secured by condition, and consequently an obligation is required.
- 24.5 Paragraph 56 of the NPPF states that:

"Planning obligations must only be sought where they meet all of the following Tests" (Set out in Regulation 122(2) of the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010):

- a) necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms;
- b) directly related to the development; and
- c) fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development.

Education Provision

- 24.6 Members will note the views of third parties relating to the impact of the development on existing services and functions. In terms of education demand, WCC has considered the impact of this proposed development on local schools. Worcestershire County Council will be seeking a planning obligation towards education infrastructure.
- 24.7 Taking account of the current and forecast pupil numbers and the anticipated impact of housing growth, the County Council is minded to seek to the transfer of a strip of land adjacent the new first school site to support the expansion of the school together with an education contribution for the first school phase would be sought of:

£2,307 per open market 2 or 3 bed dwelling

£3,461 per open market 4 or more bed dwelling

£ 923 per open market 2 or more bed flat

The contribution will be used to support the expansion of Holyoakes Field First School (Brockhill site).

- 24.8 In response to the application an education contribution for the middle school phase would be sought of:
 - £2,308 per open market 2 or 3 bed dwelling Education Planning Obligation Assessment

£3,462 per open market 4 or more bed dwelling

£ 923 per open market 2 or more bed flat

The contribution will be used to support the expansion of a middle school serving the catchment area of the proposed development.

Page 43 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

24.9 Subject to the applicant entering into a Section 106 Agreement for education facilities, they raise no objection to the application on such grounds.

Waste

- 24.10 Provision for the collection of waste
 - Dwellings within the Redditch BC authority Refuse bins (1 x green bin / 1 x grey bin) £31.29 per dwelling
 - Dwellings within the Bromsgrove DC authority Refuse bins (1 x green bin / 1 x grey bin) £52.24 per dwelling

Outdoor Sports Facilities

24.11 A sum of £405,000 is sought for offsite outdoor sports facilities as the topography of the site is unsuitable for large sports pitches.

Highway Contributions

24.12 The County Highway Authority are seeking an obligation for a contribution towards a range of on site and off site highway improvements summarised in their representation.

District Centre

24.13 The s106 will specify an area of not less than 0.8 ha of land within the Development to be provided for the District Centre Uses as well as identifying a range of uses, car parking and associated infrastructure expected to be brought forward as part of any reserved matters phase, and a marketing plan/strategy to promote developer interest in developing this part of the proposal.

Planning Obligation Monitoring Fee

- 24.14 On 1st September 2019, the Community Infrastructure Levy (Amendment) (England) (No.2) Regulations 2019 were introduced. These regulations make a number of changes to both the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) itself and introduce new requirements to report and monitor on the collection of planning obligations.
- 24.15 Approval was received at a meeting of Executive Committee on 29th October 2019 to include a monitoring charge within all future planning obligation agreements (Section 106 agreements and Unilateral Undertakings), with immediate effect. Delegated powers were granted to allow the Head of Planning and Regeneration, in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Planning and Regulatory Services, to develop and implement a charging approach in line with the regulations.

Page 44 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

24.16 The developer is aware that an obligation and associated fee will be required. This figure has been calculated as £7,500 (getting final figure may go up)

Redditch Town Centre (Enhancement Contribution)

- 24.17 The RBC endorsed Town Centre strategy, demonstrated a need for projects to take place to improve the town centre for residents.
- 24.18 This need is set in the context of the town centre needing to maintain and enhance its role. Therefore for this development proposal to be as sustainable as possible, the future residents will rely on the town centre for a large proportion of their work, access to the train, shopping and leisure activities.
- 24.19 Therefore, it is considered appropriate for new residential development to contribute to these important town centre projects. A contribution of £542 for each dwelling has been requested.

Medical Infrastructure

- 24.20 I note the views of third parties in relation to the issue of medical facilities to serve the development. Concerns have been raised over the ability of local facilities to accommodate additional medical related demand arising from the development and I understand these concerns. Members will note I have consulted the Redditch and Bromsgrove Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) on this issue. The consultation response from the Worcestershire Acute Hospitals NHS Trust is also relevant here.
- 24.21 The CCG indicated that the proposed development is likely to have an impact on the services of 8 GP practices. The GP practices do not have capacity for the additional growth resulting from this development. The existing GP practices do not have capacity to accommodate the additional growth resulting from the proposed development.
- 24.22 A developer contribution will be required to mitigate the impacts of this proposal. Redditch and Bromsgove CCG calculates the level of contribution required in this instance to be £363,374. Payment should be made before the development commences.
- 24.23 Worcestershire Acute Hospitals Trust (WAHT) in their representation of 25th July 2020, the WAHT seek a contribution of £459,390.86.

25.0 Planning Balance

25.1 The delivery of housing is viewed by Government as being important and a critical component of delivering economic growth. It therefore, falls that the benefits that would be secured through housing delivery must be given substantial weight.

Page 45 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

- 25.2 The proposed development would deliver a significant level of construction based jobs over the plan period and would also create opportunities within the local supply chain and as a result of increased (induced) economic activity, derived from expenditure from new residents.
- 25.3 In addition to direct construction job creation, there will also be an indirect effect through the supply of materials and the expenditure of wages in the local economy.
- 25.4 The employment opportunities created will vary from design professions and engineers at the start of the development, to those within the construction and utility industries when the development reaches the implementation stages. These employment opportunities incorporate workers from all sectors ranging from those involved in manual labour, to professionals, managerial roles and also in the latter stages sales and marketing.
- 25.5 The development will also generate additional household expenditure from new residents which will deliver direct benefits to local firms, as well as the wider economy.
- 25.6 The proposed development would contribute to the social context of delivering sustainable development through delivery of significant housing (both market and affordable to meet the identified needs of the local community). The development would deliver district centre. The proposals provide an extensive open space network across the site to include informal and formal open space.
- 25.7 If there are any significant and demonstrable adverse impacts of the proposed development, they lie in the effects on the surrounding landscape and environment. There must be some resulting environmental harm from the loss of open countryside, some trees and hedgerows, although, the principle of having to use greenfield sites if housing land supply issues are to be resolved seems unavoidable.
- 25.8 It is also recognised that in accepting that the full element of the application can be started prior to the Dagnell End Road improvement scheme being required, this will place minor additional traffic impacts on an already congested junction for a short time. However, these works will be delivered in the medium term.
- 25.9 I consider that there are no residual impacts that would outweigh the considerable weight which must be afforded to the support in principle of development in the absence of being able to demonstrate a 5 year supply of deliverable housing sites as required by the NPPF. The supply of up to 960 homes including 30% affordable units in Redditch to address an acknowledged need for market and affordable housing would have a significant economic and social benefits and contribute to the Government's aim to boost significantly the supply of housing.

Page 46 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

- 25.10 There would also be some environmental benefits to set against the identified environmental harm; in particular the inclusion in the development of significant new green infrastructure and open space has potential benefits for biodiversity as well as social benefits.
- 25.11 As a result, it is concluded that the sum of the benefits that would be delivered by the project would demonstrably outweigh the sum of harm and that consequently, the material considerations in this case and presumption in favour of sustainable development should apply and planning permission should be granted in accordance with the advice set out in paragraph 11 of the NPPF.

26.0 Conclusions

- 26.1 The Brockhill site is a strategic mixed-use allocation in Redditch Borough and Bromsgrove District, located on the northwest edge of Redditch. It is a long standing strategic site that was previously designated as a combination Area of Development Restraint (ADR), Green belt and an employment site (under IN67), this is taken forward through Policy 46 in the adopted Redditch local plan for around 1,025 dwellings and other supporting uses. It is also allocated through policy RBCD.1 of the adopted Bromsgrove District Plan for approximately 600 dwellings. As part of the plan-making process supporting the BDP, Bromsgrove District Council agreed through the Duty to Cooperate to assist Redditch Borough Council in delivering its housing target. This planning application sees policy RBCD.1 and the remaining allocation under Policy 46 being realised, with up to 960 homes making a substantial contribution towards meeting the housing needs of Redditch.
- 26.2 The application should therefore be approved to both help the Government's goal of significantly boosting the supply of housing, and to assist Redditch Borough Council in delivering the homes needed to support its adopted plan and assist towards its future supply of housing land.
- 26.3 In conclusion, and having regard to the NPPF, BoRLP and all other material considerations that have become evident through consideration of this application, it is concluded that the limited harm identified does not significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, as set out in terms of the presumption in favour of sustainable development test in paragraph 11 of the Framework. In fact, it is the benefits of the scheme that significantly and demonstrably outweigh the harm, such that it is concluded that the development should be permitted in line with the adopted Local Plan and National Planning Policy Framework.
- 26.4 Account of all the matters raised in the representations has been taken. The Government is seeking to boost significantly the supply of housing. Neither Council presently has a five-year housing land supply. This sustainable proposal would

Page 47 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

provide additional housing in an area where there is an identified shortage. The benefits of the proposals clearly outweigh the harm.

26.5 Having considered all material planning considerations, I am thus minded to recommend approval of the hybrid planning application.

27.0 RECOMMENDATION:

That having regard to the development plan and to all other material considerations:

(a) MINDED to GRANT HYBRID PLANNING PERMISSION

(b) That **DELEGATED AUTHORITY** be granted to the Head of Planning and Regeneration to determine the Hybrid planning application following the receipt of a suitable and satisfactory legal mechanism in relation to the following:

i) Highways

- Bromsgrove & Redditch IDP £780,000 (Redditch) and £469,429.03 (Bromsgrove)
- **TRO Application** The processing cost for a TRO for Weights Lane, in seeking to change the speed limit from 40mph to 30mph.
- Community Transport. Contribution £40,000 over 5 years
- Bus Service Strategy Contribution £324,000
- **Bus Service Infrastructure** Based on 3 pairs of stops with associated shelters only in the inbound direction. Contribution £40,000.

ii) Education Infrastructure

First school contribution calculated on a per plot basis

- £2,307 per open market 2 or 3 bed dwelling
- £3,461 per open market 4 or more bed dwelling
- £ 923 per open market 2 or more bed flat

A Middle school contribution calculated on a per plot basis

- £2,308 per open market 2 or 3 bed dwelling
- £3,462 per open market 4 or more bed dwelling
- £ 923 per open market 2 or more bed flat

To transfer or procure the transfer of the Education Land to the County Council

iii) Off-site Open Space Improvements £405,000

Page 48 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

iv) Waste Management Contribution

Waste and recycling bins calculated as follows:

- Dwellings within the Redditch BC authority Refuse bins (1 x green bin / 1 x grey bin) £31.29 per dwelling
- Dwellings within the Bromsgrove DC authority Refuse bins (1 x green bin / 1 x grey bin) £52.24 per dwelling
- v) Planning Obligation Monitoring Fee £7,500 (TBC)
- vi) Bromsgrove and Redditch CCG Contribution £363,374
- vii) Worcestershire Acute Hospitals Trust (WAHT) Contribution £459,390.86

And

- viii)The securing of a 30% provision of on-site affordable dwelling units for dwellings Redditch BC authority
- ix) The securing of a 40% provision of on-site affordable dwelling units for dwellings Bromsgrove DC authority
- x) The provision and future maintenance in perpetuity of the SuDs facilities
- xi) The provision and future maintenance in perpetuity of the on-site play space and open space provision
- xii) District Centre including Marketing Plan

And: (c) That DELEGATED POWERS be granted to the Head of Planning and Regeneration to agree the final scope and detailed wording and numbering of conditions and informatives as set out in the report

Conditions:

Timeframes and Compliance

1. With the exception of Phase 3 (approved in full as part of this permission - 128 dwellings accessed off Weights Lane, new public open space, drainage system, engineering operations associated works) a detailed phasing plan for the development shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority prior to the submission of the first reserved matters application. The phasing plan shall specify the proposed timing for delivery of the housing and other build elements of the development. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details, unless otherwise approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: In order to secure a satisfactory delivery of development.

2. No development shall commence on any part of the development other than works specified in Phase 3 (128 dwellings accessed off Weights Lane, new public open

Page 49 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

space, drainage system, engineering operations associated works) until all of the following reserved matters for each separate development phase of the development, have been submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority: (1) Appearance (2) Landscaping (3) Layout (4) Scale (5) Access (internal estate roads). An application for approval of reserved matters for all phases of the development must be made to the Local Planning Authority not later than the expiration of Ten (10) years beginning with the date of the grant of hybrid planning permission.

Reason: To comply with Article 5 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015 (or any Order revoking and reenacting that Order) and to ensure a comprehensive layout in the interests of proper planning of the area and to allow sufficient time to attract future occupiers. To comply with the provisions of Section 92 of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1990 (as amended by Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2002).

3. Unless otherwise approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, the development of Phase 3 (128 dwellings accessed off Weights Lane, new public open space, drainage system, engineering operations associated works) shall be begun within 18 months of the date of this permission. Each subsequent phase of the development hereby permitted shall be begun within three (3) years of the date of approval of the last of the reserved matters to be approved for that phase.

Reason: To prevent the accumulation of unimplemented planning permissions and in accordance with the requirements of section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

Approved Plans

4. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans and particulars:

Hybrid Scheme:

- P-01Rev A Location Plan
- 8506-L-02 RevJ Framework Plan
- DAS RevB

Full Element:

- P-04 Rev D Affordable Housing Plan
- P-05 Rev D Tenure Plan
- P-06 Rev E Storey Heights Plan

Page 50 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

- P-08 Rev A- Gas Main Plan
- P-H-01 Corfe
- P-H-02 Himbleton
- P-H-03 Leicester
- P-H-04 Clayton
- P-H-05 Hatfield
- P-H-06 Hanbury
- P-H-07 Alnwick
- P-H-14 Clayton Corner
- P-H-17 Dalby
- P-H-18 Lumley
- P-H-08 HQI 65
- P-H-09 HQI 79
- P-H-10 HQI 84
- P-H-11 HQI 73
- P-H-12 HQI 60
- P-H-13 HQI 50
- P-H-15 HQI 114
- P-H-16 HQI 83

Technical Drawings:

- FRA 19039 Drainage Strategy Sheet 1A & Sheet 2A
- 2809-12-P4 Dagnell End Road –GA
- 2809-TR-03-06 Highway Improvements Access

Reason: To define the permission and in order to secure the satisfactory delivery of the development.

Total Number of Dwellings

5. The total number of dwellings authorised by this permission shall not exceed 960.

Reason: In order to secure a well planned development.

Design Guide

6. The submission of all Reserved Matters and the phased implementation of the development shall broadly accord with the Framework Plan 8506-L-02 J and the principles described in the Design and Access Statement. Any Reserved Matter

Page 51 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

application shall include a statement providing an explanation as to how the design of the development responds to the Design and Access Statement.

Reason: In order to secure a well planned development.

Materials

7. No development above ground floor slab level of phase 3 or any subsequent phase shall take place until samples of the materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the dwellings hereby permitted have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority (to include those materials to be used externally on the walls and roofs, doors and windows, door and window frames and block work materials on drives/specific crossing points). Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details unless otherwise agreed in writing.

Reason: To ensure the satisfactory appearance of the development

Contamination Phase 3

- 8. Prior to the commencement of phase 3, unless otherwise agreed by the Local Planning Authority, development, other than that required to be carried out as part of an approved scheme of remediation, must not commence until sections 1 to 4 have been complied with:
 - 1. A preliminary risk assessment (a Phase I desk study) submitted to the Local Authority in support of the application has identified unacceptable risk(s) exist on the site as represented in the Conceptual Site Model. A scheme for detailed site investigation must be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to being undertaken to address those unacceptable risks identified. The scheme must be designed to assess the nature and extent of any contamination and must be led by the findings of the preliminary risk assessment. The investigation and risk assessment scheme must be compiled by competent persons and must be designed in accordance with DEFRA and the Environment Agency's "Model Procedures for the Management of Contaminated Land, CLR11".
 - 2. The detailed site investigation and risk assessment must be undertaken in accordance with the approved Scheme and a written report of the findings produced. This report must be approved by the Local Planning Authority prior to any development taking place.

Page 52 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

- 3. Where the site investigation identified remediation is required, a detailed remediation scheme to bring the site to a condition suitable for the intended use by removing unacceptable risks to identified receptors must be prepared and is subject to the approval of the Local Planning Authority in advance of undertaking. The remediation scheme must ensure that the site will not qualify as Contaminated Land under Part 2A Environmental Protection Act 1990 in relation to the intended use of the land after remediation.
- 4. The approved remediation scheme must be carried out in accordance with its terms prior to the commencement of development, other than that required to carry out remediation, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.
- 5. Following the completion of the measures identified in the approved remediation scheme a validation report that demonstrates the effectiveness of the remediation carried out must be produced, and is subject to the approval of the Local Planning Authority prior to the occupation of any buildings.
- 6. In the event that contamination is found at any time when carrying out the approved development that was not previously identified it must be reported in writing immediately to the Local Planning Authority. An investigation and risk assessment must be undertaken and where necessary a remediation scheme must be prepared, these will be subject to the approval of the Local Planning Authority. Following the completion of any measures identified in the approved remediation scheme a validation report must be prepared, which is subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority prior to the occupation of any buildings.

Reason: To ensure that risks from land contamination to the future users of the land and neighbouring land are minimised, together with those to controlled waters, property and ecological systems, and to ensure that the development can be carried out safely without unacceptable risks to workers, neighbours and other offsite receptors.

Contamination reserved matters

- 9. Prior to the submission of any Reserved Matters for each Development Phase must not commence until sections 1 to 5 have been complied with:
 - 1. A preliminary risk assessment must be carried out. This study shall take the form of a Phase I desk study and site walkover and shall include the identification of previous site uses, potential contaminants that might reasonably be expected given those uses and any other relevant information. The preliminary risk assessment report shall contain a diagrammatical representation (conceptual model) based on the information

Page 53 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

above and shall include all potential contaminants, sources and receptors to determine whether a site investigation is required and this should be detailed in a report supplied to the Local Planning Authority. The risk assessment must be approved in writing before any development takes place.

- 2. Where an unacceptable risk is identified a scheme for detailed site investigation must be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to being undertaken. The scheme must be designed to assess the nature and extent of any contamination and must be led by the findings of the preliminary risk assessment. The investigation and risk assessment scheme must be compiled by competent persons and must be designed in accordance with DEFRA and the Environment Agency's "Model Procedures for the Management of Contaminated Land, CLR11".
- 3. Detailed site investigation and risk assessment must be undertaken and a written report of the findings produced. This report must be approved by the Local Planning Authority prior to any development taking place. The investigation and risk assessment must be undertaken by competent persons and must be conducted in accordance with DEFRA and the Environment Agency's "Model Procedures for the Management of Contaminated Land, CLR11".
- 4. Where identified as necessary a detailed remediation scheme to bring the site to a condition suitable for the intended use by removing unacceptable risks to identified receptors must be prepared and is subject to the approval of the Local Planning Authority in advance of undertaking. The remediation scheme must ensure that the site will not qualify as Contaminated Land under Part 2A Environmental Protection Act 1990 in relation to the intended use of the land after remediation.
- 5. The approved remediation scheme must be carried out in accordance with its terms prior to the commencement of development, other than that required to carry out remediation, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.
- 6. Following the completion of the measures identified in the approved remediation scheme a validation report that demonstrates the effectiveness of the remediation carried out must be produced, and is subject to the approval of the Local Planning Authority prior to the occupation of any buildings.
- 7. In the event that contamination is found at any time when carrying out the approved development that was not previously identified it must be reported in writing immediately to the Local Planning Authority. An investigation and risk assessment must be undertaken and where necessary a remediation scheme must be prepared, these will be subject to the approval of the Local Planning Authority. Following the completion of any measures identified in the approved remediation scheme a

Page 54 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

validation report must be prepared, which is subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority prior to the occupation of any buildings.

Reason: To ensure that risks from land contamination to the future users of the land and neighbouring land are minimised, together with those to controlled waters, property and ecological systems, and to ensure that the development can be carried out safely without unacceptable risks to workers, neighbours and other offsite receptors.

Archaeology (Written Scheme, Programme, Recording)

- 10. No development shall take place on any development phase until a programme of archaeological work, including a Written Scheme of Investigation, has been submitted to and approved by the local planning authority in writing for that development phase and site investigation has been undertaken and completed. The scheme shall include an assessment of significance and research questions; and:
 - a) The programme and methodology of site investigation and recording.
 - b) The programme for post investigation assessment.
 - c) Provision to be made for analysis of the site investigation and recording.
 - d) Provision to be made for publication and dissemination of the analysis and records of the site investigation
 - e) Provision to be made for archive deposition of the analysis and records of the site investigation
 - f) Nomination of a competent person or persons/organisation to undertake the works set out within the Written Scheme of Investigation.

Reason: In accordance with the requirements of paragraph 189 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Archaeology (Publication)

11. Each development phase shall not be occupied until the site investigation and post investigation assessment for each phase has been completed in accordance with the programme set out in the Written Scheme of Investigation approved under condition (10) and the provision made for analysis, publication and dissemination of results and archive deposition has been secured.

Reason: In accordance with the requirements of paragraph 189 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Archaeology

12. Within 12 months of the commencement of any development phase development hereby approved, the content, design and location of an historic environment

Page 55 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

interpretation panel shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter, the development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: In accordance with the requirements of paragraph 189 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Drainage Phase 3

- 13. No works or development above foundation level for phase 3 shall take place until a finalised scheme for surface water drainage has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The scheme shall specifically include:-
 - Detailed drainage design, showing all private foul and surface water connections,
 - A simple index assessment considering the water quality of surface water runoff,
 - Consideration of what SuDS features can be incorporated into the site drainage to provide an appropriate level of runoff treatment.
 - Full details of the proposed balancing area

This scheme should be indicated on a drainage plan and the approved scheme shall be completed prior to the first use of the full application hereby approved.

Reason: To prevent the risk of flooding and to improve and protect water quality.

Drainage other phases

14. No development within a reserved matters phase shall commence until a full scheme for the provision of a Sustainable Urban Drainage System (SuDS) for each phase has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. No dwelling shall be occupied in that phase until the SuDS has been constructed in accordance with the approved scheme. Thereafter, the SuDS shall be maintained in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure that a suitable drainage system is place in order to prevent pollution to controlled waters and achieve recharge to the aquifer underlying the site.

Page 56 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

Foul and surface water sewerage

15. No dwelling shall be occupied until a drainage system to allow for the disposal of foul and surface water sewerage has been completed in accordance with details that shall have first been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure that a suitable drainage system is place.

Cut and Fill

16. No development within a reserved matters phase until a full engineering design solution providing full specification, extent and methodology details of the cut and fill works to that Phase shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The specification should clarify how the undisturbed ground at higher levels is to be retained in a stable manner, together with the foundation design at lower levels. The development shall be carried out as approved.

Reason: In order to secure a well-planned development.

Levels

17. Other than Phase 3, no development within a relevant phase shall take place until there has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority details of the finished ground floor levels of all the approved buildings and the finished ground levels for all other areas of the site. The sections shall show the development relative to the ground levels adjoining the site. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure that the development is carried out at suitable levels and in relation to adjoining land and buildings and in the interests of amenity and highway requirements.

Ecology

- 18. All proposed works shall be carried out in accordance with the recommendations as set out in the following report prepared by FPCR
 - Brockhill East (Phase 3) Ecological Appraisal (FPCR, June 2019)
 - Brockhill East (Phase 3) Herpetofauna Survey Report (FPCR, June 2019)
 - Brockhill East (Phase 3) Bat Report (FPCR, June 2019)
 - Brockhill East (Phase 3) Badger Report (FPCR, June 2019)
 - Brockhill East (Phase 3) Bird Report (FPCR, June 2019).

Page 57 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

Reason: To ensure that the proposal results in a net gain of biodiversity.

Construction Ecological Management Plan (CEcMP)

- 19. Notwithstanding the submitted details, no development within a reserved matters phase shall commence until a Construction Ecological Management Plan (CEcMP) for each phase has been submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The CEcMP shall include, but not limited to the following no works shall take place until a Construction Ecological Management Plan (CEcMP) has been submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The CEcMP shall include, but not limited to the following:
 - i. Risk assessment of potentially damaging construction activities
 - ii. Identification of "biodiversity protection zones"
 - iii. Practical measures (both physical measures and sensitive working practices) to avoid or reduce impacts during construction (may be provided as a set of method statements)
 - iv. The locations and timing of sensitive works to avoid harm to biodiversity features (e.g. daylight working hours only starting one hour after sunrise and ceasing one hour after sunset)
 - v. The times during construction when ecological or environmental specialists need to be present on site to oversee works
 - vi. Responsible persons and lines of communication
 - vii. The role and responsibilities on site of an ecological clerk of works (ECoW) or similar person
 - viii. Use of protective fences, exclusion barriers and warning signs
 - ix. Ongoing monitoring, including compliance checks by a competent person(s) during construction and immediately post-completion of construction works

The approved CEcMP shall be adhered to and implemented throughout the construction period strictly in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To prevent pollution of the water environment and to ensure that the proposal results in a net gain of biodiversity.

Page 58 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

Landscape and Ecological Management Plan

20. Notwithstanding the submitted details, no development within a reserved matters phase shall commence until a Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEcMP) for each phase has been submitted to, and be approved in writing by, the local planning authority.

The content of the LEcMP shall include, but not limited to the following:

- a) Description and evaluation of features to be managed.
- b) Ecological trends and constraints on site that might influence management.
- c) Aims and objectives of management including those in relation to dormice and bats.
- d) Appropriate management options for achieving aims and objectives including appropriate enhancement measures.
- e) Prescriptions for management actions.
- f) Preparation of a work schedule (including an annual work plan capable of being rolled forward over a five-year period).
- g) Details of the body or organisation responsible for implementation of the plan.
- h) Legal and funding mechanism(s) by which the long-term implementation of the plan will be secured by the developer.
- i) Ongoing monitoring and remedial measures.

The plan shall also set out (where the results from monitoring show that conservation aims and objectives of the LEcMP are not being met) how contingencies and/or remedial action will be identified, agreed and implemented so that the development still delivers the fully functioning biodiversity objectives of the originally approved scheme. The approved plan will be implemented in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To safeguard Biodiversity as set out by Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended), Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006, NPPF

Lighting strategy

21. Notwithstanding the submitted details, no development within any phase shall be occupied until details of external lighting for each phase have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The details shall clearly demonstrate that lighting will not cause excessive light pollution or disturb or prevent

Page 59 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

bat species using key corridors, forage habitat features or accessing roost sites. The details shall include, but not limited to, the following:

- i. A drawing showing sensitive areas and/or dark corridor safeguarding areas
- ii. Description, design or specification of external lighting to be installed including shields, cowls or blinds where appropriate.
- iii. A description of the luminosity of lights and their light colour
- iv. A drawing(s) showing the location and where appropriate the elevation of the light fixings.
- v. Methods to control lighting control (e.g timer operation, passive infrared sensor (PIR)).

All external lighting shall be installed in accordance with the specifications and locations set out in the approved details. These shall be maintained thereafter in accordance with these details. Under no circumstances shall any other external lighting be installed.

Reason: To safeguard the site from increased light pollution, visual amenity and maintain the existing value of biodiversity on and adjacent to the site to protect 'foraging/commuting bats' in accordance with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017, the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended), Circular 06/2005, the National Planning Policy Framework

Landscape Management Plan

- 22. A landscape management plan, including long term design objectives, a schedule of phased construction, management responsibilities and maintenance schedules for all landscape areas, both hard (such as details shall include proposed finished levels or contours, car parking layouts, other vehicle and pedestrian footpaths/access and circulation areas, hard surface materials) and soft (other than small, privately owned, domestic gardens) shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to the occupation of each phase of the development. The landscape management plan shall be carried out as approved. The method statements shall include:
 - a. purpose and objectives for the proposed works;
 - b. detailed designs and working methods necessary to achieve the stated objectives;
 - c. extent and location of proposed works shown on appropriate scale maps;
 - d. timetable for implementation;

Page 60 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

- e. persons responsible for implementing the works; and
- f. initial aftercare and long-term maintenance.

Reason: In order to protect the trees, hedges and landscaping features which form an important part of the amenity of the site and in order to secure a well-planned development.

Landscaping

23. No development within a relevant phase shall commence until full details of retained and new soft landscape works have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Such approved planting shall be completed in the first planting season post occupation of the first dwelling within the relevant phase. The planting schedule shall include all those trees, hedgerows, shrubs or existing features of the land to be retained, removed and/or treated, new planting plans; written specifications (including cultivation and other operations associated with plant and grass establishment); schedules of plants, noting species, plant sizes and proposed numbers/densities where appropriate; implementation programme.

All such planting shall be maintained to encourage its establishment for a minimum of five years following contractual practical completion of the development. Any trees or significant areas of planting which are removed, die or become, in the opinion of the Local Planning Authority, seriously damaged or defective within this period, shall be replaced as soon as is reasonably practicable with others of species, size and number as originally approved, unless the Local Planning Authority gives its written consent to any variation.

Reason: In order to secure a well-planned development.

Hard Surface Areas

24. No development within a reserved matters phase shall commence until full details of all proposed hard surface areas have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Such details shall include proposed finished levels or contours, car parking layouts, other vehicle and pedestrian footpaths/access and circulation areas, hard surface materials. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details. The approved hard landscaping plan shall be implemented within two years from the date when any of the

Reason: In order to secure a well-planned development.

Page 61 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

Public Open Space

25. Full details of the layout of the public open space areas, including details of planting specification and schedules, surfacing, enclosures, play equipment, seating and the future management and maintenance of the site to allow public access, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The public open space shall be implemented in accordance with the agreed details.

Reason: In the interests of visual and neighbouring amenity and the adequate provision of public open space.

Trees Full

26. All trees and hedges that are to be retained should be afforded protection in accordance with BS5837:2012 recommendations and as defined within Arboricultural Assessment by FPCR provided with the application throughout any demolition, ground or development work on the site.

Reason: To ensure the environment of the development is improved and enhanced.

Trees further phases

27. Prior to the commencement of the development on each reserved matters phase, an Arboricultural Method Statement and Tree Protection Plan for each phase of development shall been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. At all times until the completion of each of the phases of development, such fencing and protection measures shall be retained as approved. Within all fenced areas, soil levels shall remain unaltered and the land kept free of vehicles, plant, materials and debris.

Reason: To ensure the environment of the development is improved and enhanced.

Housing Mix

28. Prior to the commencement of any reserved matters phase, details of the mix of type and size of market dwellings to be provided in that phase, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure the creation of a mixed and vibrant community.

Affordable Housing Mix

Page 62 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

29. Plans and particulars of the Reserved Matters referred to in Condition 2 shall include a plan identifying the number and location of the affordable housing units to be provided within each relevant phase. The plan shall confirm the size (bedroom numbers), type and tenure of each affordable housing unit. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To enable the Local Planning Authority to regulate and control the development of the land and to ensure the adequate provision of affordable housing.

Boundary Treatments

30. Prior to the commencement of any reserved matters phase until there has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority a plan indicating the positions, design, materials and type of boundary treatments to be erected. Boundary treatments shall be completed in accordance with the approved details and prior to the occupation of the dwelling to which the boundary relates.

Reason: In order to secure a well-planned development.

Storage of Refuse

31. Prior to the commencement of any reserved matters phase details of the facilities for the storage of refuse to be provided in that phase. No individual dwelling shall be occupied until the approved refuse storage facilities to serve that dwelling have been constructed in accordance with approved details.

Reason: To ensure the proposed dwellings have adequate refuse storage facilities and that such facilities ensure that the Local Authority refuse bins do not detract from the character and appearance of the development through failure to provide a space for their storage between collections.

Noise

32. No development above ground floor slab level of phase 3 shall take place until a scheme of glazing, ventilation (passive and mechanical) and acoustic barrier products/fencing to be installed has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority to confirm that the dwellings will meet the required sound reduction specification in order to achieve the BS8233:2014 recommended internal and external noise level.

Page 63 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

Reason: To protect the amenity of future occupiers

Broadband

33. Prior to the commencement of each phase of the development, details for the installation of fixed telecommunication infrastructure and High Speed Broadband shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local **Details** include Planning Authority. shall connections to multi-point destinations and all residential and commercial buildings provide sufficient capacity, including duct sizing, to cater for all future phases of the development with sufficient flexibility to meet the needs of existing and future residents. The infrastructure shall be laid out in accordance with the approved details for each phase and at the same time as other services during the construction process. High Speed Fibre Optic Broadband shall be installed into the buildings before they are occupied.

Reason: To ensure that the needs of future residents to connect to the internet does not necessarily entail engineering works to an otherwise finished and high quality living environment in accordance with Policy 29.

Weights Lane Improvement Scheme (S278)

34. No more than 100 dwellings hereby approved shall be brought into use until the highway improvements to the Weights Lane corridor as shown in the PJA Drawing Ref: 02809 TR 03 Rev P6, or similar scheme acceptable to the Highway Authority, has been has been approved in writing and completed to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority (in consultation with the Local Highway Authority) and is open to traffic. The scheme is to include a continuous footway along the south side of the Weights Lane carriageway between the development site and connecting to existing footways running alongside the A441 Birmingham Road carriageway, by tying into the consented Brockhill Phase 4 footway proposals.

Reason: To ensure the safe and free flow of traffic onto the highway.

Dagnell End Road / A441 Birmingham Road Improvement Scheme (S278)

35. No more than 128 dwellings hereby approved shall be brought into use until the highway improvements to the Dagnell End Road / A441 Birmingham Road junction as shown in the PJA Drawing Ref: 2809 P 12 Rev P4, or similar scheme acceptable to the Highway Authority, has been approved in writing and completed to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority (in consultation with the Local Highway Authority) and

Page 64 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

is open to traffic. The junction is to include Microprocessor Optimised Vehicle Actuation (MOVA) signal control.

Reason: To ensure the safe and free flow of traffic onto the highway.

Electric vehicle charging point

36. No relevant phase shall be first occupied until each dwelling in that relevant phase has been fitted with an electric vehicle charging point. The charging points shall comply with BS EN 62196 Mode 3 or 4 charging and BS EN 61851 and the Worcestershire County Council Streetscape Design Guide. The electric vehicle charging points shall be retained for the lifetime of the development unless they need to be replaced in which case the replacement charging point(s) shall be of the same specification or a higher specification in terms of charging performance.

Reason: To encourage sustainable travel and healthy communities.

Cycle parking

37. No relevant phase shall be first occupied until details of sheltered and secure cycle parking to comply with the Council's adopted highway design guide has been provided in accordance with details which shall first be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter the approved cycle parking shall be kept available for the parking of bicycles only.

Reason: To comply with the Council's parking standards and to encourage sustainable travel and healthy communities.

Residential Travel Plan

38. The Residential Travel Plan hereby approved shall be implemented in accordance with the regime contained within the Plan. Monitoring must also include vehicle counts on years 1,3 and 5 (minimum). In the event of failing to meet the targets within the Plan a revised Plan shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority to address any shortfalls, and where necessary make provision for and promote improved sustainable forms of access to and from the site. The Plan thereafter shall be implemented and updated in agreement with the Local Planning Authority and thereafter implemented as amended.

Reason: To reduce vehicle movements and promote sustainable access.

Page 65 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

Construction Environmental Management Plan

39. No demolition works nor development within a development phase shall commence on site until a Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) has been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority. This shall deal with the treatment of any environmentally sensitive areas, their aftercare and maintenance as well as a plan detailing the works to be carried out showing how the environment will be protected during the works. Thereafter all works for that Development Phase shall be carried out in accordance with the approved Statement throughout the construction period.

The CEMP shall provide for the following where relevant:

- I. The parking of vehicles of site operatives and visitors
- II. Loading and unloading of plant and materials (including times)
- III. Storage of plant and materials used in constructing the development
- IV. Measures to control the deposition of mud onto the local road network.
- V. Measures to control the emission of dust and dirt during construction
- VI. Measures to control noise and vibration during construction
- VII. Details of any temporary construction accesses and their reinstatement.
- VIII. Details of any changes to construction vehicle routing and site management following the Weights Lane connection to the site being made.
 - IX. A highway condition survey, timescale for re-inspections, and details of any reinstatement.
 - X. Locations and measures to control the emissions where in situ bioremediation or soil washing takes place.
 - XI. Hours of operation and working
- XII. The timing of the works
- XIII. The measures to be used during the development in order to minimise environmental impact of the works, considering both potential disturbance and pollution
- XIV. Any necessary pollution protection methods
- XV. Information on the persons/bodies responsible for particular activities associated with the method statement that demonstrates they are qualified for the activity they are undertaking.

The measures set out in the approved plan shall be carried out and complied with in full during the construction of the development hereby approved. Site operatives' parking, material storage and the positioning of operatives' facilities shall only take place on the site in locations approved by in writing by the local planning authority.

Page 66 Agenda Item 6 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

Reason: In order that the Local Planning Authority can properly consider the effect of the works on the amenity of the locality and to ensure the provision of adequate on-site facilities and in the interests of highway safety. This is a pre-commencement condition and is necessary to protect residential amenity.

Procedural matters

This application is being reported to the Planning Committee because the application requires a S106 Agreement, relates to a Major development and has attracted more than 2 objections. As such the application falls outside the scheme of delegation to Officers.

Page 67 Agenda Item 7 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

Planning Application 20/00992/FUL

Construction of 1 No. 3 bedroom dwelling

3 Vicarage View, Redditch, Worcestershire, B97 4RF

Applicant: K Hands Ward: Central Ward

(see additional papers for site plan)

The case officer of this application is David Kelly, Planning Officer (DM), who can be contacted on Tel: 01527 881666 Email: david.kelly@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.uk for more information.

Site Description

The site comprises an elevated open area of land immediately to the north of the existing dwelling and the access is currently from Vicarage View where there is an existing modern single storey garage. The site adjoins No. 5 Vicarage View to the west and No. 1 to the south. The existing dwelling is a former police house constructed in 1948 and is one of six similar dwellings in the area (Nos. 2, 4, 14 and 16 Vicarage View). These all comprise large semi-detached dwellings with brick chimney detail to the gables. The remainder of development in the immediate area comprises a mixture of house types and designs mainly interwar red brick semi-detached dwellings with some more modern house types at Numbers 6 - 8 and 12 Vicarage View. The front of the application site largely benefits from an open view across the Memorial Gardens and attendant mature trees which are separated from the site by Cemetery Lane. The site is elevated above the lane and above Plymouth Road further to the east. The site is located within a designated residential area and the cemetery and Memorial Gardens are designated as Primarily Open Space in the Borough of Redditch Local Plan No. 4 (BoRLP4).

Proposal Description

The proposal relates to the erection of a single two storey three bedroom dwelling through the extension of the existing dwelling No. 3 Vicarage View, Redditch. It is proposed to provide two parking spaces and utilise the existing access from Vicarage View to serve the proposed dwelling and a separate access and two parking spaces would be provided to serve the existing property (No. 3). The proposed dwelling would match the character and appearance of the existing properties (Nos. 1 and 3 Vicarage View) through the use of the same pattern of fenestration with a feature bay window at ground floor level and a rendered finish. There would be a single storey porch provided to the side elevation and a single storey lean-to extension provided at the rear of the proposal serving a kitchen.

Page 68 Agenda Item 7

REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

Relevant Policies:

Borough of Redditch Local Plan No. 4

Policy 1: Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development

Policy 2: Settlement Hierarchy

Policy 5: Effective and Efficient use of Land

Policy 20: Transport Requirements for New Development

Policy 39: Built Environment

Policy 40: High Quality Design and Safer Communities

Others

NPPF National Planning Policy Framework (2019) NPPG National Planning Practice Guidance Redditch High Quality Design SPD

Relevant Planning History

2006/166/FUL Demolition of 2 No. Dwellings, Construction of 12 No Flats with associated car parking and amenity space. Refused 04.07.2006.

2003/065/FUL Erection of one detached dormer bungalow. Refused 19.03.2003. Appeal dismissed 21.07.2003.

1985/018/FUL New Garage. Approved 28.02.1985.

Consultations

Highways Redditch

No objection subject to conditions in relation to pedestrian visibility splays, appropriate construction of vehicular access, closure of existing access and provision of cycle parking and an electric vehicle charging point.

North Worcestershire Water Management

No objection subject to a condition in relation to the surface water drainage of the site.

WRS - Contaminated Land

WRS have no adverse comments to make in relation to contaminated land.

Page 69 Agenda Item 7 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

Arboricultural Officer

No objections to the proposed application regarding any tree related issues.

Public Consultation Response

There have been ten objections received following Public Consultation on 01.11.2020, raising the following matters:

- The loss of character arising from the proposal
- Impact on the setting of the Memorial Gardens to the front of the site
- Loss of light to the conservatory of an adjoining dwelling
- Additional pressure on parking and vehicle movement
- Planning history of the site

The matter of legal covenants restricting the use of the former Police houses was raised but this is not a material planning consideration.

Assessment of Proposal

Principle

The application site is located within the Central Ward, an urban area of Redditch, which is defined in Policy 2 of the Borough of Redditch Local Plan no. 4 (BoRLP4) as a sustainable location for development, offering the highest level of services. The principle of new residential development in this location is therefore acceptable. Members should also note that the proposal would be located approximately 250m from Redditch Station and approximately 450m from Redditch Town Centre with wide range of services and amenities available within walking distance. In the context of Policies 1, 2 and 5 of the BORLP4 and the principles set out in the National Planning Policy Framework the sustainable location of the proposal is a matter which is accorded significant weight in the determination of the application.

Furthermore, the Council cannot currently demonstrate a 5-year housing land supply (5YHLS) which was calculated to be 3.29 years as of 1st April 2019. In the context of paragraph 11(d) and footnote 7 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), the lack of 5YHLS means that planning permission for residential development should be granted unless any adverse impacts of granting planning permission would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in this Framework taken as a whole. In summary, the principle of development is acceptable and it thereby falls to be considered whether there are adverse impacts arising in terms of the character of the streetscene, the amenity of adjoining occupiers or any other issue which amounts to demonstrable harm.

Page 70 Agenda Item 7 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

Character and Appearance of the Area

The area comprises a mixture of house types and designs with mainly semi detached dwellings on Cemetery Lane and Vicarage View. The provision of an additional dwelling in this location would not alter the pattern, layout or density of development in the area in any significant or detrimental way. The view of the site from the Memorial Gardens would be similar to that at present and whilst there would be some loss of the open feel of the streetscene from the perspective of Vicarage View, the properties of the area would remain sufficiently separated so that the open, sylvan feel of the area would prevail. It is considered that the side elevation of the proposed dwelling would be slightly set forward of the indicative building line along Vicarage View. However, it is evident on site that the properties to the west on Vicarage View are elevated above the level of the proposal which significantly limits the impact of the set forward. The proposed dwelling would be situated at an angle reflecting the position of the existing Nos 1 - 3 which results in a limited set forward of the front corner of the proposed dwelling with respect to Vicarage View. There is a similar relationship between No. 4 and the dwellings on Vicarage View further to the west. It is considered that the proposal would respect the character and urban grain of the area in the context of Section 4.2 of the High Quality Design SPD.

Residential Amenity

The Borough of Redditch High Quality Design SPD states that where a two storey dwelling faces a flank wall on a two storey building, a minimum separation distance of 12.5 metres is required. In this case, the proposed side elevation of No. 5 Vicarage View would be located 15 metres from the first floor rear elevation of the proposal and 12.5m from the ground floor rear elevation whilst noting the existence of a side garage and the elevation of the neighbouring property would mitigate against any loss of amenity by virtue of overshadowing or overlooking. The proposed dwelling would be separated by 26m and 22m from Nos. 4 and 6 Vicarage View respectively and approximately 14m from the private garden of the latter with the only view being a single side window serving a landing in the proposed dwelling. The proposal would be located in excess of 70m away from the properties on the opposite side of Plymouth Road and no harm to residential amenity would arise. Furthermore, I do not consider that the single storey rear extension element of the proposal would have an overbearing or overshadowing impact on the adjoining dwelling (No. 3 Vicarage View) by virtue of the existing outbuilding to the rear of that dwelling.

The High Quality Design SPD also requires that adequate amenity space is provided for the occupiers of new residential development. The SPD stipulates that a minimum amenity area of 70 square metres should be provided for dwellings, with a minimum garden length of 10.5 metres. In this case, the garden depth would be 11.2m and the overall area of the rear garden (excluding parking) would be 126sqm. The proposed parking to serve the existing property No. 3 would be located to the front of the dwelling which appears to be the only feasible arrangement. However, I note that parking to the front of properties is not uncharacteristic in the area, the frontages of Nos. 1 and 3 are substantial and I consider that that the suggested landscaping on the proposed block plan would mitigate the impact of the parking considerably. An appropriate condition has been attached.

Page 71 Agenda Item 7 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

It is considered that the proposal would accord with the Borough of Redditch High Quality Design SPD and with policies 5, 39 and 40 of BoRLP4 which requires development to make efficient use of land and respect the characteristics of the surrounding environment.

Highways

No objections have been raised by Worcestershire Highways with the Highways Officer noting the sustainable location of the development and expressing the view that the slight relocation of the existing vehicular access for the proposed development and the new vehicular access for the existing dwelling are both acceptable subject to appropriate pedestrian visibility splays (condition attached). There are additional conditions proposed in relation to appropriate construction of vehicular access, closure of existing access and provision of cycle parking and an electric vehicle charging point. There are no objections raised in respect of the level of parking proposed with two spaces provided to serve the new and the existing dwelling.

Other matters

It is unfortunate that the existing trees along the front and side boundary of the site have been removed since they would have assisted in integrating the development into the streetscene. However, there are no trees on the site under statutory protection and no objection has been raised by the Councils Tree Officer. In respect of drainage, the application site falls within flood zone 1 and is not considered to be of significant risk for fluvial flooding. Whilst risk to the site from surface water flooding is also indicated to be low, North Worcestershire Water Management have requested a planning condition for a surface water drainage scheme, which includes appropriate levels of surface water attenuation. There have been no objections raised by Worcestershire Regulatory Services in respect of contaminated land. It is considered that the site has limited ecological potential and the views of Worcestershire Wildlife Trust have been sought in relation to the removal of the garage.

Public Consultation Responses

There have been ten objections received in relation to the proposal. The objections relate to the loss of character, impact on the setting of the Memorial Gardens to the front of the site, legal covenants restricting the use of the former Police houses, loss of light to the conservatory of an adjoining dwelling, additional pressure on parking and vehicle movement. A number of respondents have referred to the planning history of the site. Firstly, it should be clarified that legal covenants are not material planning considerations and are accorded no weight in the determination of the application. It is accepted that the police houses constructed in the area have comprised semi detached pairs of dwellings and the proposal would change this arrangement. However, the proposal is not located in a conservation area and whilst the dwellings have a symmetry, it is not considered that the proposal would have a detrimental impact, taking the already varied character of the streetscene into account. The proposal reasonably integrates with the pattern and layout of development in the area and whilst there would be some loss of openess towards the Memorial Gardens when viewed from Vicarage View, this matter is not of such weight that it outweighs the benefit of additional housing provision in the absence of a five year

Page 72 Agenda Item 7 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

housing land supply and the sustainable location of the proposal. In terms of the loss of light to the conservatory in the adjoining dwelling (No. 5), the proposal has been assessed above against the provisions of the development plan and supplementary guidance and is considered to comply. In terms of parking and additional vehicle movement, there are no objections raised by Worcestershire Highways and the proximity of the train and bus stations would afford occupiers alternative means of transport to the private car. The planning history referred to in the representations relates to application 2006/166/FUL for the demolition of 2 No. Dwellings, Construction of 12 No. Flats. This was refused by Planning Committee because of its scale, height and prominence on the elevated site. However, it is long established case law that each proposal must be considered on its individual merit and the decision taken in 2006 has no bearing to the entirely different proposal currently under consideration. Similarly, the application for a dwelling under 2003/065/FUL which was dismissed at appeal was a different proposal for a detached dwelling which was judged to be cramped and contrived in respect of its arrangement. As clearly set out above, the current proposal is not considered detrimental in contrast to the findings in previous applications. The matter of noise and disturbance during construction is considered temporary and would not amount to a matter for which consent could be withheld.

Conclusion

The proposal amounts to the delivery of a single dwelling in a very sustainable location with respect of the services and amenities of Redditch Town Centre. The Council cannot currently demonstrate a five year supply of housing land and therefore paragraph 11 and footnote 7 of the NPPF state that for applications involving the provision of housing, planning permission should be granted unless the adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the NPPF taken as a whole. These matters combined carry substantial weight in favour of the proposal.

The legitimate representations in respect of the character of the streetscene and the amenity of adjoining occupiers have been duly considered in the determination of the application but is not considered that any of the matters raised would enable a finding to be made that the proposal did not accord with the provisions of the Borough of Redditch Local Plan No. 4, High Quality Design adopted as Supplementary Planning Guidance or the provisions of the Framework. It is concluded that there are no adverse impacts of granting planning permission that would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, and therefore it is concluded that planning permission should be granted subject to conditions.

RECOMMENDATION:

That having regard to the development plan and to all other material considerations, planning permission be **GRANTED**, subject to the following conditions:

Page 73 Agenda Item 7 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

Conditions:

1) The development to which this permission relates must be begun not later than the expiration of three years beginning with the date of the grant of this permission.

Reason: In accordance with the requirements of Section 91(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

2) The development hereby approved shall be carried out in accordance with the following plans and drawings:

Drawing No. 1945.01 - Site Location Plan

Drawing No. 1945.02C - Existing and Proposed Block Plan

Drawing No. 1945.03C - Proposed Site Plan Drawing No. 1945.05A - Proposed Elevations Drawing No. 1945.04A - Proposed Floorplans

Drawing No. 1945.07A - Proposed Streetscene

Reason: To provide certainty to the extent of the development hereby approved in the interests of proper planning.

3) Prior to any works above foundation level commencing on site a scheme for surface water drainage will be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The scheme should provide appropriate levels of surface water attenuation. This scheme should be indicated on a drainage plan and the approved scheme shall be completed prior to the first use of the development hereby approved.

Reason: In order to ensure satisfactory drainage conditions that will not create or exacerbate flood risk on site or within the surrounding local area.

4) Prior to the occupation of the development hereby approved, details of the proposed landscaping and boundary treatments shall be provided to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. These shall include full details of the size, species and management of proposed trees and shrubs to be planted. The approved planting shall be implemented in the first growing season following the occupation of the development. Any trees which have not survived within the first five years shall be replaced with specimens of the equivalent size and species.

Reason: In order to protect the visual amenity of the street scene.

5) The Development hereby approved shall not be occupied until pedestrian visibility splays of 2m x 2m, measured perpendicularly back from the back of footway shall be provided on both sides of each of the proposed access points. The splays shall

Page 74 Agenda Item 7 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

thereafter be maintained free of obstruction exceeding a height of 0.6m above the adjacent ground level.

Reason: In the interests of highway safety.

6) The Development hereby approved shall not be occupied until the first 5 metres of each of the proposed vehicle accesses, measured from the edge of the carriageway, has been surfaced in a bound material.

Reason: In the interests of highway safety.

7) The Development hereby permitted shall not be first occupied until the proposed dwelling has been fitted with an electric vehicle charging point. The charging points shall comply with BS EN 62196 Mode 3 or 4 charging and BS EN 61851 and the Worcestershire County Council Streetscape Design Guide. The electric vehicle charging points shall be retained for the lifetime of the development unless they need to be replaced in which case the replacement charging point(s) shall be of the same specification or a higher specification in terms of charging performance.

Reason: To encourage sustainable travel and healthy communities.

8) The Development hereby permitted shall not be first occupied until sheltered, safe, secure and accessible cycle parking to comply with the Council's adopted highway design guide has been provided in accordance with details which shall first be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority and thereafter the approved cycle parking shall be kept available for the parking of bicycles only.

Reason: To comply with the Council's parking standards.

9) The Development hereby approved shall not be brought into use until the existing vehicular shall be permanently closed in accordance with details that shall have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure the safe and free flow of traffic using the adjoining highway.

10) The Development hereby approved shall not be occupied until the access and parking facilities have been provided as shown on drawing No. 1945.03C (Proposed Site Plan).

Reason: To ensure that parking facilities are provided to serve the existing dwelling and avoid displacement parking on the public highway.

Page 75 Agenda Item 7 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

- Prior to occupation of the development, full details of refuse storage facilities shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The details thus approved shall be fully implemented prior to first use or occupation.
 - Reason: In the interests of providing adequate refuse storage capacity in a visually acceptable manner
- During the course of any site clearance and development, the hours of work for all on-site workers, contractors and sub-contractors shall be limited to between; 0800 to 1800 hours Monday to Friday 0900 to 1200 hours Saturdays and NO WORKING shall take place at any time on Sundays, Bank Holidays or Public Holidays or at any time outside of the above permitted working hours unless first agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: In the interests of the amenity of adjoining occupiers.

13) All new external walls and roofs shall be finished in materials to match in colour, form and texture those on the existing dwelling.

Reason: To ensure that the development is satisfactory in appearance, to safeguard the visual amenities of the area and in accordance with Policies in the Local Plan.

<u>Informatives</u>

- 1) The local planning authority have worked with the applicant in a positive and proactive manner to seek solutions to problems arising in relation to dealing with this planning application through negotiation and amendment.
- 2) This permission does not authorise the applicant to carry out works within the publicly maintained highway since such works can only be carried out by the County Council's Approved Contractor following the issue of a license under Section 184 and 278 of the Highways Act, 1980.
 - Please contact Ringway Infrastructure Services, Worcestershire County Councils approved contractor. The applicant is solely responsible for all costs associated with construction of the access. For further information, please contact Ringway direct on 01905 761160 or email: worcestershirevehicle.crossing@ringway.co.uk
- 3) Drainage arrangements shall be provided to ensure that surface water from the driveway and/or Vehicular turning area does not discharge onto the Public Highway. No drainage or effluent from the proposed development shall be allowed to discharge into any Highway drain or over any part of the Public Highway.

Page 76 Agenda Item 7 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

Procedural matters

This application is being reported to the Planning Committee because two (or more) objections have been received.

Page 77 Agenda Item 8

REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

Planning Application 20/01418/FUL

Garage conversion and second storey extension to side and rear

2 Vicarage Crescent, Redditch, Worcestershire, B97 4RE

Applicant: Miss Halema Khan

Ward: Central Ward

(see additional papers for site plan)

The case officer of this application is Jane Griffiths, Planning Officer (DM), who can be contacted on Tel: 01527 534062 Email: jane.griffiths@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.uk for more information.

Site Description

The host property is a semi-detached three bedroomed dwelling situated in a run of other semi-detached properties on the western side of Vicarage Crescent. To the rear of the property there are commercial buildings, No 51 and 53 Bromsgrove Road. The property is constructed from brick (walls) under a pitched tiled roof.

The immediate area is comprised of a mixture of semi-detached and detached two storey houses. The dwelling's nearest neighbours are 5 no. flats at No. 23 Bromsgrove Road (to the north) and No. 4 Vicarage Crescent (to the south).

Proposal Description

The application seeks planning permission to erect a first-floor wrap around extension to the side and rear of the property above an existing brick built garage, utility room and w/c. The depth of the existing ground floor area to the rear will be increased slightly by 600mm and a first floor built above. The extension along with the garage conversion will provide a dining room, an enlarged kitchen and a w/c and wet room area at ground floor, whilst providing a larger third bedroom, bathroom and medical storage room at first floor level. The extensions and alterations proposed are all aimed at improving and adapting the current family home to meet existing and future needs for the applicant's children who have medical needs. Materials proposed for use would match those on the existing building.

Relevant Policies:

Borough of Redditch Local Plan No. 4

Policy 39: Built Environment

Policy 40: High Quality Design and Safer Communities

Others

Page 78 Agenda Item 8 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

Redditch High Quality Design SPD
National Design Guide
NPPF National Planning Policy Framework (2019)
NPPG National Planning Practice Guidance

Relevant Planning History

No history.

Public Consultation Response

There are no objections from the occupants of neighbouring properties.

Assessment of Proposal

Planning applications for extension and alterations to dwellings are expected to be of high-quality design that reflect or complement the character and appearance of the local area. Guidance contained within the Council's Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) 'High Quality Design' is expected to be incorporated within development proposals.

The SPD at section 3.1 and 3.3 comments that side extensions should be subordinate and proportionate in scale with the main dwelling, rather than competing with or dominating the existing property. Width, height and bulk of an extension should be kept in proportion to avoid making this part of the building the central feature.

Following the submission of amended plans the overall set back of the proposed front wall at first floor is 2.8 metres beyond the principal elevation serving the existing dwelling and the ridge line serving the extension has been lowered in accordance with the SPD. The scale of the side extensions proposed are thus considered to be acceptable.

The neighbouring property closest to the application site is No 23 Bromsgrove Road, this property is divided into 5 no. flats. On the rear of this property there are a number of ground and first floor windows which face the double storey extension, the proposed extension would be at close quarters to the flats but those windows immediately adjacent to the extension are obscure glazed and appear to serve bathrooms and landing areas not habitable rooms. Given the sun orientation and the position of the existing property to the south of the flats, it is likely that there is already some impact on the light to these windows.

There are two windows on the side elevation of No. 23 Bromsgrove Road which serve bedroom areas, the original plans showed that the extension conflicted with the 45 degree guide which ensures overshadowing does not occur. Revisions to the extension have been submitted to ensure it now complies the 45 degree guide.

No neighbour representations have been received.

Page 79 Agenda Item 8 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

In conclusion, your officers are satisfied that the visual and residential amenities of the area would not be prejudiced by granting planning permission for this development.

The proposed application is considered to comply with the provisions of the development plan and would constitute a sustainable form of development in accordance with the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework.

RECOMMENDATION:

That having regard to the development plan and to all other material considerations, planning permission be GRANTED subject to the following conditions:

Conditions:

1) The development to which this permission relates must be begun not later than the expiration of three years beginning with the date of the grant of this permission.

Reason: In accordance with the requirements of Section 91(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

2) The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the Approved Plans/ Drawings listed in this notice:

Location plan, scale 1:1250 - Drawing No. 011 P1

Block plan, scale 1:500 - Drawing No. 012 Rev A

Proposed ground floor plan, scale 1:50 - Drawing No. 006 Rev A

Proposed first floor plan, scale 1:50 - Drawing No. 007 Rev A

Proposed front elevation, scale 1:50 - Drawing No. 008 Rev A

Proposed side elevation, scale 1:50 - Drawing No. 009 Rev A

Proposed rear elevation, scale 1:50 - Drawing No. 010 Rev A

Existing & proposed side elevations, scale 1:50 - Drawing No. 013 P1

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

3) All new external walls and roofs shall be finished in materials to match in colour, form and texture those on the existing building.

Reason:- To ensure that the development is satisfactory in appearance, to safeguard the visual amenities of the area and in accordance with Policies in the Local Plan.

Informatives

Page 80 Agenda Item 8 REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

27th January 2021

1) The local planning authority have worked with the applicant in a positive and proactive manner to seek solutions to problems arising in relation to dealing with this planning application through negotiation and amendment.

Procedural matters

This application is being reported to Planning Committee for consideration because the applicant is an employee of Redditch Borough Council and as such this proposal falls outside the scheme of delegation to Officers.